Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 12, EGU2010-12347, 2010 EGU General Assembly 2010 © Author(s) 2010



NMMB/BSC-DUST: model validation at regional scale in Northern Africa

Karsten Haustein (1), Carlos Pérez (3), Oriol Jorba (1), José María Baldasano (1,2), Zavisa Janjic (4), Tom Black (4), Nickovic Slobodan (5), Catherine Prigent (6), and Benoit Laurent (7)

(1) Barcelona Supercomputing Center, Earth Sciences, Barcelona, Spain (karsten.haustein@bsc.es), (2) Environmental Modeling Laboratory, Technical University of Catalonia, Barcelona, Spain, (3) NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, USA, (4) NOAA/ NWS/ National Centers for Environmental Prediction, Camp Springs, Maryland, USA, (5) World Meteorological Organization (WMO), Geneva, Switzerland, (6) Laboratoire d'Etudes de Rayonnement et de la Matière en Astrophysique, Observatoire de Paris, Paris, France, (7) Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques, Universités Paris VII-XII, Créteil, France

While mineral dust distribution and effects are important at global scales, they strongly depend on dust emissions that are controlled on small spatial and temporal scales. Indeed, the accuracy of surface wind speed used in dust models is crucial. Due to the cubic higher-order power dependency on wind friction velocity and the threshold behaviour of dust emissions, small errors on surface wind speed lead to large dust emission errors. Most global dust models use prescribed wind fields provided by meteorological centres (e.g., NCEP and ECMWF) and their spatial resolution is currently never better than about $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$. Such wind speeds tend to be strongly underestimated over large arid and semi-arid areas and do not account for reflect mesoscale character of systems responsible for a significant fraction of dust emissions regionally and globally. Other Another strong uncertainties in dust emissions from such approaches are related to the missrepresentation originates from of coarse representation of high subgrid-scale spatial heterogeneity in soil and vegetation boundary conditions, mainly in semi-arid areas.

With the development of the new model NMMB-BSC/DUST [Pérez et al., 2008], we are now focusing on the evalution of the model sensitivity to several processes related to dust emissions. The results presented here are an intermediate step to provide global dust forecasts up to 7 days at sub-synoptic resolutions in the near future. NMMB-BSC/DUST is coupled online with the NOAA/NCEP/EMC global/regional NMMB atmospheric model [Janjic, 2005] extending from meso to global scales an being fully embedded into the Earth System Modeling Framework (ESMF).

We performed regional simulations for the Northern African domain, including the Arabian peninsula and southern/central Europe (0 to 65°N and 25°W to 55°E) at 1/3°x1/3° and 1/6x1/6° horizontal resolution with 64 vertical layers. The model is initialized with 6-hourly updated NCEP 1x1° analysis data with a dust spin up of 5 days in advance. Dust columnal load, dust concentration at the surface, AOD and extinction coefficient are extracted for two time periods: March 2005 - corresponding with BoDEx campaign [Todd et al., 2008] - and May/June 2006 - corresponding with SAMUM I field campaign [Haustein et al., 2009]. Several model simulations were run with dust RRTM longwave and shortwave radiative feedback switched on or off, with dust vertical flux after Marticorena and Bergametti [1995] or after Alfaro and Gomez [2001], including viscous sublayer approach [Janjic, 1994] applied or not, and with or without preferential sources following Ginoux [2001]. Additionally, two new observational datasets of surface "aeolian" roughness length [Laurent, 2006; Prigent, 2005] are applied either for drag partition correction, or as substitution for the empirical model roughness length. These simulations are compared with detailed observational data. The atmospheric wind field is analyzed in terms of its capability to reproduce the low level jet in the Bodélé.

References:

Alfaro, S. C. and L. Gomes (2001). Modeling mineral aerosol production by eind erosion: Emission intensities and aerosol size distribution in source areas. Journal of Geophysical Research 106, D16, 18075-18084.

Ginoux, P. et al. (2001). Sources and distribution of dust aerosols simulated with the GOCART model. J. Geophys. Res., 106, D17, 20255-20273.

Haustein, K. et al. (2009). Regional dust model performance during SAMUM-I 2006. Geophysical Research Letters 36, L03812, doi:10.1029/2008GL036463.

Janjic, Z. I. (1994). The Step-Mountain Eta Coordinate Model: Further Developments of the Convection, Viscous Sublayer, and Turbulence Closure Schemes. Monthly Weather Review 122, 927-945.

Janjic, Z. I. (2005). A unified model approach from meso to global scales. Geophysical Research Abstracts 7, 05582, 2005, EGU05-A-05582.

Laurent, B. Et al. (2006). Modeling mineral dust emissions from Chinese and Mongolian deserts. Global and Planetary Change 52, 121-141.

Marticorena, B. and G. Bergametti (1995). Modeling the atmospheric dust cycle: 1. Design of a soil-derived dust emission scheme. Journal of Geophysical Research 100, D8, 16415-16430.

Pérez, C. et al. (2008). An online mineral dust model within the global/regional NMMB: Current progress and plans. AGU Fall Meeting, 14-19 December 2008, San Francisco, USA.

Prigent, C. et al. (2005). Estimation of aerodynamic roughness length in arid and semi-arid regions over the globe with the ERS scatterometer. Journal of Geophysical Research 110, D09205, doi:10.1029/2004JD005370.

Todd, M. (2008). Quantifying uncertainty in estimates of mineral dust flux: An intercomparison of model performance over the Bodélé Depression, northern Chad. Journal of Geophysical Research 113, D24107, doi:10.1029/2008JD010476.