Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 14, EGU2012-6553, 2012 EGU General Assembly 2012 © Author(s) 2012



## Seismic records of the 2010 and 2011 tsunamis

E. Okal

Northwestern University, Geol. Sci., Evanston, United States (emile@earth.northwestern.edu)

We examine records of the 2010 Maule and 2011 Tohoku tsunamis obtained on horizontal components of seismometers deployed in the vicinity of coastlines, in particular on small oceanic islands. We have shown (Okal, 2007) that such records can be interpreted by simply assuming that the instrument functions as an OBS (if its distance from a deep basin is small with respect to the tsunami wavelength), recording the horizontal motion of the solid Earth induced by the continuation of the tsunami wave in the solid medium, modified by terms representing the tilt of the ocean floor, and a change in the gravity potential associated with the passage of the wave, as theorized 30 years ago by J.F.

Gilbert for classical spheroidal modes.

Following the Tohoku tsunami, we report the first observation of a tsunami by an OBS in the farfield, as part of the Neptune Canada project, and use a detection on a nearby station at Forks, Washington, to confirm the validity of this interpretation by inverting their spectral amplitudes

into the moment of the earthquake within 15% of its CMT value, this experiment justifying a posteriori our 2007 model.

We use extended datasets obtained throughout the

Pacific Basin to recover the seismic moment of the two events of 2010 and 2011, and conclude that neither shows growth at ultra-long periods, confirming that neither of the two shocks features a hidden long-period component, in contrast with the three super-mega events (1960 Chile, 1964 Alaska, 2004 Sumatra).

Results at higher frequenices (5 mHz) are much more scattered and suggest a non-linear response of small island edifices, which can reach spectacular characteristics (such as at Pitcairn in 2010).