



What do we NOT know about freaque waves in the ocean and lakes and where to go from here?

P. Liu (1), C. Wu (2), A. Bechle (2), H. Chen (3), and K. MacHutchen (4)

(1) NOAA, GLERL, Ann Arbor, United States (paul.c.liu@noaa.gov, (734) 741-2294), (2) University of Wisconsin, Depart CEE, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, (3) National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, (4) Coastal Marine Technology, Cape Town, South Africa

The modern study of freaque waves has been an active research field for at least over the last two decades or so. There have been significant advancements especially in connection with the study of nonlinear physics. In terms of the real world freaque waves in the ocean and lakes, however, we still really don't know very much. Basically we still have no clear notion on where, when, how, or why a freaque wave occurs in the ocean and lakes. After discussed what do we know about freaque waves previously, we feel it is of interest to also ask the corollary question regarding what do we NOT know. In this paper we wish to further inquire the following lesser known or under explored aspects of freaque waves, among others, that in our opinion still don't seem to have clearly answers:

- Do we have a viable definition for the phenomena yet?
- Does the well known plot of the Draupner platform 1995 New Year's Day wave data truly represent the same kind of freaque waves widely reported to have been occurring in the ocean and lakes?
- Are there different kinds of freaque waves?
- How often does a freaque wave occur?
- Is there a life cycle for freaque wave occurrence?
- Do freaque waves ever making loud noises?
- What is the role of wave breaking in connection with freaque wave occurrence?
- Is freaque wave predictable?
- How to realistically measure freaque waves?

Finally we feel that the most glaring weakness of the present freaque wave research is the total missing of actual spatial freaque wave measurement. Fortunately amelioration is on the horizon.