



## Experimental studies on BrO/Br ratios at Stromboli, Etna, Masaya, Gorely and Nyiragongo

Nicole Bobrowski (1), Julian Wittmer (2), Marcello Liotta (3), Sergio Calabrese (4), Giovanni Giuffrida (5), Lorenzo Brusca (5), and Ulrich Platt (1)

(1) University of Heidelberg, IUP, Heidelberg, Germany (nbobrows@iup.uni-heidelberg.de), (2) University of Bayreuth, 95448 Bayreuth, Germany, (3) Department DiSTABiF, Second University of Naples, Caserta, Italy, (4) Department DiSTeM, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy, (5) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Palermo, Italy

Since the discovery of BrO in a volcanic plume (Bobrowski et al. 2003) many measurements have been performed as well as modelling to understand the radical chemistry in volcanic plumes, in particular, the interaction between volcanic gas species, released under strongly reduced conditions, and the oxidizing atmosphere. Besides the goal in atmospheric chemistry to better determine the impact of volcanic emission (e.g. reactive bromine) on the local (and maybe global) scale, volcanologists also have an interest to understand if the BrO/SO<sub>2</sub> ratios can be used as a monitoring parameter giving further insights in dynamic processes of volcanoes. One of the major advantages when utilizing BrO/SO<sub>2</sub> ratios is the relatively easiness of the measurements, which can be taken in a safe distance from volcanic activity accompanied by a good temporal resolution partly even during explosive eruptions. Recently, it has been shown (Lübcke et al., 2013) that already existing automatically running measurement networks can now be used to gain long-term data sets of BrO/SO<sub>2</sub> ratios. However, one of the arguments which potentially makes volcanological interpretations difficult is the reactivity of BrO. Therefore it is, of great importance to link the measurements of BrO and gaseous hydrogen bromide to the total emission flux of bromine in order to estimate the pristine gas composition released from magmas.

In particular, meteorological influences, trace gas composition of the surrounding atmosphere and the volcanic gas composition can all potentially effect the formation of BrO and might have to be considered. Some of these factors potentially also influence near source in-situ measurement. We need to answer the question: Can we correlate BrO measurements to the total bromine outgassing? Only with this knowledge we can relate changes of the measured gas ratios (BrO/SO<sub>2</sub>) to the volcanic fluids emitted by the underlying magma and can interpret data as signals from depth, which provide insight on the degassing of magmatic bodies inside the Earth.

First results indicate that the BrO/SO<sub>2</sub> ratio is close to a temporarily equilibrium already after only few minutes of the gas emission from the vent (e.g. Bobrowski and Giuffrida, 2012). This equilibrium seems to be relatively independent from meteorological parameters except for extreme conditions.

We here present an empirical approach to answer the above question by discussing BrO formation studies from five different volcanoes, Stromboli and Etna (Italy), Masaya (Nicaragua), Gorely (Russia) and Nyiragongo (DR Congo). These volcanoes have a broad variation in their geological settings, surrounding environment and climate. They cover subduction related systems and rift volcanism and encompass climate zones from the subarctic to the tropics.

For the BrO studies Multi-Axis-Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (MAX-DOAS) measurements have been carried out. Active alkaline traps were applied to determine bromine emissions at the crater rim. All measurements have been taken simultaneously or within a maximum time distance of a few hours. From these measurements we determined that a range of about 5 to 30 percent of the total bromine is transformed into BrO. We show and discuss how the varying BrO-Br partition is related partly to the geological settings, the various meteorological conditions and explore further possible influences. We will shortly discuss the current limitations of the commonly used measurement techniques itself and introduce possible future improvements.