



## Stochastic parameterization of vertical velocity and cloud droplet activation using subgrid cloudy columns in ECHAM-HAM

J. Tonttila, P. Räisänen, and H. Järvinen

Finnish Meteorological Institute, P.O.Box 503, 00101 Helsinki, Finland

A stochastic treatment of cloud activation and CDNC is implemented in ECHAM5.5-HAM2. The parameterization is built on top of a Stochastic Cloud Generator (SCG; Räisänen et al. 2004; 2007) and the Monte Carlo Independent Column Approximation (McICA) radiative transfer scheme (Pincus et al. 2003; Barker et al. 2008), allowing explicit representation of subgrid cloud properties using a specified number of subcolumns.

The Tompkins cloud cover scheme (Tompkins, 2002) is employed in this configuration, as it provides information about the subgrid distribution of total water content inside GCM grid-cells, based on a semi-prognostic probability distribution (beta distribution). The information about the subgrid variability is then used by the SCG to generate the subcolumns. In each subcolumn level, cloud cover (cloud fraction is 0 or 1 for each subgrid point) and cloud condensate amount are defined by applying certain decorrelation and overlap assumptions. However, with the parameterizations used until now, a horizontally uniform CDNC has to be assumed for all the subcolumns.

Hence, a probability density function is defined for vertical velocity (PDF<sub>w</sub>) in each GCM grid-cell, allowing the calculation of maximum water vapor supersaturation as in the cloud droplet activation parameterization by Abdul-Razzak & Ghan (2000) through random sampling of the PDF<sub>w</sub>. This yields unique CDNC for each of the cloudy subcolumns. The radiative effects of the resulting cloud columns with two-moment non-uniform microphysical properties are finally explicitly treated by the McICA radiation parameterization.

Model runs show encouraging results in cloud top CDNC when compared to observations; the GCM-scale mean CDNC is mostly reduced with the new subgrid parameterization compared to the standard approach by Lohmann et al. (2007). Moreover, even without the subgrid treatment of cloud activation, implementing SCG and McICA reduces a positive bias in net shortwave radiation (SW) seen in parts of the tropical ocean regions, but introduces new negative SW biases in trade wind regions. This is probably an issue with the Tompkins cloud cover scheme in conjunction with the current model configuration. Introducing the subgrid cloud activation decreases these biases slightly but does not completely eliminate them.

### REFERENCES

- Abdul-Razzak, H., Ghan, S. J., 2000: A parameterization of aerosol activation 2: Multiple aerosol types. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, D5, 6837-6844.
- Barker et al., 2008: The Monte Carlo Independent Column Approximation: an assessment using several global atmospheric models. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 134, 1463-1478.
- Lohmann et al., 2007: Cloud microphysics and aerosol indirect effects in the global climate model ECHAM5-HAM. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 3425-3446.
- Pincus et al., 2003: A fast, flexible, approximate technique for computing radiative transfer in inhomogeneous cloud fields. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4376, doi:10.1029/2002JD003322.
- Räisänen et al. 2004: Stochastic generation of subgrid-scale cloudy columns for large scale models. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 130, 2047-2067.
- Räisänen et al. 2007: Tests of Monte Carlo Independent Column Approximation in ECHAM5 atmospheric GCM. *J. Clim.*, 20, 4995-5011.

Tompkins 2002: A prognostic parameterization for the subgrid-scale variability of water-vapor and clouds in large scale models and its use to diagnose cloud cover. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 59, 1917-1942.