

Machine learning parameterizations for ozone in climate sensitivity simulations

Peer Nowack (1,2,3), Qing Yee Ellie Ong (4), Peter Braesicke (5), Joanna Haigh (1,2), Nathan Luke Abraham (6,7), John Pyle (6,7), and Apostolos Voulgarakis (2)

(1) Imperial College London, Grantham Institute for Climate Change and the Environment, UK, (2) Imperial College London, Department of Physics - Blackett Laboratory, UK, (3) Imperial College London, Data Science Institute, UK, (4) University of Oxford, UK, (5) Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, IMK-ASF, Germany, (6) University of Cambridge, Department of Chemistry, UK, (7) National Centre for Atmospheric Science, UK

Ozone is an important feedback factor in climate simulations [1-5]. However, interactive atmospheric chemistry schemes needed for calculating changes in ozone are computationally expensive. Climate modelers therefore often use climatological ozone fields, which are neither consistent with the actual climate state simulated by each model nor with the specific climate change scenario. We suggest a novel method using a machine learning regression algorithm to model ozone in pre-industrial and abrupt 4xCO₂ climate sensitivity simulations [6]. Using the atmospheric temperature field as the only input, the regression reliably predicts three-dimensional ozone distributions. In particular, the representation of stratospheric ozone variability is much improved compared with a fixed climatology. Our method requires training data covering only a fraction of the usual length of simulations and, as we show here, is transferable between generations of the UK Met Office's climate model. Our method thus promises to be an important stepping stone towards a range of new computationally efficient methods to consider ozone changes in long climate simulations.

Short German summary:

Interaktive Ozonchemie ist eine wichtige, aber rechenzeittechnisch teure, Komponente in der Klimamodellierung. Wir zeigen hier das Algorithmen des Machinellen Lernens für viele Klimasimulationen eine effektive und schnellere Alternative zu interaktiven Atmosphärenchemieschemen darstellen können.

References:

- [1] Nowack PJ, Abraham NL, Braesicke P, and Pyle JA (2018). The impact of stratospheric ozone feedbacks on climate sensitivity estimates, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* **123**, 4630–4641. doi:10.1002/2017JD027943.
- [2] Silverman V, Harnik N, Matthes K, Lubis SW, and Wahl S (2018). Radiative effects of ozone waves on the Northern Hemisphere polar vortex and its modulation by the QBO, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* **18**, 6637–6659, doi:10.5194/acp-18-6637-2018.
- [3] Nowack PJ, Braesicke P, Abraham NL, and Pyle JA (2017). On the role of ozone feedback in the ENSO amplitude response under global warming, *Geophysical Research Letters* **44**, 3858–3866, doi:10.1002/2016GL072418.
- [4] Chiodo G, and Polvani LM (2017). Reduced Southern Hemispheric circulation response to quadrupled CO₂ due to stratospheric ozone feedback, *Geophysical Research Letters* **44**, 465–474, doi:10.1002/2016GL071011.
- [5] Nowack PJ, Abraham NL, Maycock AC, Braesicke P, Gregory JM, Joshi MM, Osprey A, and Pyle JA (2015). A large ozone-circulation feedback and its implications for global warming assessments, *Nature Climate Change* **5**, 41–45, doi:10.1038/NCLIMATE24.
- [6] Nowack PJ, Braesicke P, Haigh J, Abraham NL, Pyle J, Voulgarakis A (2018). Using machine learning to build temperature-based ozone parameterizations for climate sensitivity simulations, *Environmental Research Letters* **13**, 104016, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aae2be.