Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol. 11, EGU2009-10675, 2009 EGU General Assembly 2009 © Author(s) 2009



## A new algorithm combining geostatistics with the surrogate data approach to increase the accuracy of comparisons of point radiation measurements with cloud measurements

V.K.C. Venema (1), R. Lindau (1), T. Varnai (2), and C. Simmer (1) (1) Meteorological institute, University of Bonn, Germany (victor.venema@uni-bonn.de, rlindau@uni-bonn.de, csimmer@uni-bonn.de), (2) Climate and Radiation Branch, NASA, USA (tamas.varnai@nasa.gov)

Two main groups of statistical methods used in the Earth sciences are geostatistics and stochastic modelling. Geostatistical methods, such as various kriging algorithms, aim at estimating the mean value for every point as well as possible. In case of sparse measurements, such fields have less variability at small scales and a narrower distribution as the true field. This can lead to biases if a nonlinear process is simulated on such a kriged field. Stochastic modelling aims at reproducing the structure of the data. One of the stochastic modelling methods, the so-called surrogate data approach, replicates the value distribution and power spectrum of a certain data set. However, while stochastic methods reproduce the statistical properties of the data, the location of the measurement is not considered.

Because radiative transfer through clouds is a highly nonlinear process it is essential to model the distribution (e.g. of optical depth, extinction, liquid water content or liquid water path) accurately as well as the correlations in the cloud field because of horizontal photon transport. This explains the success of surrogate cloud fields for use in 3D radiative transfer studies. However, up to now we could only achieve good results for the radiative properties averaged over the field, but not for a radiation measurement located at a certain position. Therefore we have developed a new algorithm that combines the accuracy of stochastic (surrogate) modelling with the positioning capabilities of kriging. In this way, we can automatically profit from the large geostatistical literature and software.

The algorithm is tested on cloud fields from large eddy simulations (LES). On these clouds a measurement is simulated. From the pseudo-measurement we estimated the distribution and power spectrum. Furthermore, the pseudo-measurement is kriged to a field the size of the final surrogate cloud. The distribution, spectrum and the kriged field are the inputs to the algorithm. This algorithm is similar to the standard iterative amplitude adjusted Fourier transform (IAAFT) algorithm, but has an additional iterative step in which the surrogate field is nudged towards the kriged field. The nudging strength is gradually reduced to zero.

We work with four types of pseudo-measurements: one zenith pointing measurement (which together with the wind produces a line measurement), five zenith pointing measurements, a slow and a fast azimuth scan (which together with the wind produce spirals). Because we work with LES clouds and the truth is known, we can validate the algorithm by performing 3D radiative transfer calculations on the original LES clouds and on the new surrogate clouds. For comparison also the radiative properties of the kriged fields and standard surrogate fields are computed. Preliminary results already show that these new surrogate clouds reproduce the structure of the original clouds very well and the minima and maxima are located where the pseudo-measurements sees them. The main limitation seems to be the amount of data, which is especially very limited in case of just one zenith pointing measurement.