



Comparing Methods Dedicated to the Retrieval of Atmospheric CO₂ from Space Borne Observations of Backscattered Near-Infrared Sunlight

A. Butz (1), H. Boesch (2), O. P. Hasekamp (1), and A. Cogan (2)

(1) SRON - Netherlands Institute for Space Research, Utrecht, The Netherlands (a.butz@sron.nl), (2) EOS Group, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK

The Orbiting Carbon Observatory (OCO) and the Greenhouse Gases Observing SATellite (GOSAT) target at inferring atmospheric CO₂ abundances with high accuracy and precision and global coverage. The observational strategy relies on measuring sunlight backscattered by the Earth's surface and atmosphere of two near-infrared CO₂ absorption bands and the O₂ A band. Several methods are proposed to retrieve the column average dry air mixing ratio XCO₂ from such measurements.

Commonly, these retrieval methods differ by the implementation of the forward model that simulates the satellite measurements and by the inverse method that infers the target quantity XCO₂ given simulated and measured radiance spectra. The forward model itself consists of a radiative transfer model that requires input from several sub-modules providing information on spectroscopic line parameters, surface properties, atmospheric state variables and aerosol properties of the sampled air masses. Differences in the implementations of the forward model and the inverse method can result in significant biases in XCO₂ retrieved from the different algorithms.

Here, we aim at comparing different retrieval approaches and identifying and characterizing (and potentially removing) differences between them. Our bottom-up-approach starts with comparing radiance spectra generated by the forward models for simple scenarios such as purely Rayleigh scattering atmospheres so that the radiative transfer model and the treatment of molecular absorption and atmospheric state variables can be verified. Then more complex scenes such as aerosol loaded atmospheres where polarization of radiation is important are considered. To this end we simulate an ensemble of radiance spectra by either of the considered retrieval methods and cross-retrieve XCO₂ among all approaches. These retrieval comparisons will then allow us to draw conclusions on differences in XCO₂ introduced by the inherent assumptions of the forward models and inverse methods, which has to be taken into account when interpreting the OCO and GOSAT XCO₂ data products.