



## Accuracy of Empirical Multifractal Analyses

E. Perfect (1), A.M. Tarquis (2), and N.R. Bird (3)

(1) Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996-1410, USA (eperfect@utk.edu), (2) CEIGRAM - ETSI Agronomos, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain (anamaria.tarquis@upm.es, +34 913365817), (3) Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, Hertfordshire AL5 2JQ, UK (nigel.bird@bbsrc.ac.uk)

The moment-based box counting method of multifractal analysis is widely used for estimating generalized dimensions,  $D_q$ , from 2-dimensional grayscale images. An evaluation of the accuracy of this method is needed to establish confidence in the resulting estimates of  $D_q$ . We estimated  $D_q$  from  $q = -10$  to  $+10$  for 23 random geometrical multifractal fields with varying levels of grayscale heterogeneity, different grid sizes, and known analytical  $D_q$  versus  $q$  functions.

Comparison of the estimated and analytical functions indicated the moment-based box counting method overestimates  $D_q$  by as much as 6.9% when  $q \ll 0$ . The root mean square error, RMSE, for the entire range of  $q$  values examined ranged from  $7.81 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $1.35 \times 10^{-1}$ , with a geometric mean of  $6.50 \times 10^{-3}$ . The RMSE decreased with increasing grayscale heterogeneity and decreasing grid size.

Variations in the slope of the log-transformed partition function,  $\tau(q)$ , as a function of box size were responsible for the overestimation of  $D_q$  when  $q$  is negative. An alternative procedure for estimating  $\tau(q)$  was developed based on the numerical first derivatives of the log-transformed partition function. Using this approach the maximum deviation in  $D_q$  values was only 1.2%, while the RMSE varied from  $3.11 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $2.72 \times 10^{-2}$ , with a geometric mean of  $2.57 \times 10^{-4}$ .

Our results indicate an order of magnitude increase in accuracy in the estimation of generalized dimensions when  $\tau(q)$  is calculated numerically as instead of using standard linear regression analysis. The theoretical origins of the discrepancy are the normalization of the mass fractions in order to simulate pixel values in an image.

Perfect, E.; Tarquis, A.M. and Bird, N.R. 2009. Accuracy of Generalized Dimensions estimated from Grayscale Images using the method of moments. *Fractals* (accepted)