Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol. 11, EGU2009-4091, 2009 EGU General Assembly 2009 © Author(s) 2009



GPS monitoring and earthquake prediction: a success story towards a useful integration

A. Borghi (1), A. Aoudia (2), R.E.M. Riva (3), and R. Barzaghi (4)

(1) The National Institute of Oceanography and Experimental Geophysics – OGS c/o Politecnico di Milano-DIIAR, Milan, Italy (alessandra.borghi@polimi.it), (2) Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Earth System Physics Section, Trieste, Italy (aoudia@ictp.it), (3) Delft Institute of Earth Observation and Space Systems, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands (r.e.m.riva@tudelft.nl), (4) Politecnico di Milano-DIIAR, Milan, Italy (riccardo.barzaghi@polimi.it)

We describe a success story at the junction between South-Eastern Alps and external Dinarides that has led to an early deployment of GPS stations prior to the predicted July 12th 2004 moderate size Slovenia Krn Mountain earthquake. The success story consisted in a straightforward integration between a long-lasting lithosphere-scale rock mechanics experiment, along with GPS monitoring, leading to a physical model of stress evolution and tested earthquake prediction experiment using M8S, CN and RTP algorithms (described in this volume) to point out the area of the impending earthquake. Within the alarmed area by the prediction algorithms, the lithosphere-scale rock mechanics experiment revealed that the location of the 2004 event falls within an area of stress shadow due to the recent 1998 Bovec earthquake, but is also very close to an area of increased stress due to the long-lasting effect of the 1511 event. The pre and post 2004 earthquake GPS data provided the following results:

1- the Krn Mountain earthquake magnitude has to be increased from Mw 5.2 to 5.5, therefore doubling the fault slip in order to provide a better fit to the near-field displacements. This confirms that the RTP prediction experiment considered as a near-success prediction, due to the magnitude just outside prediction range, can now be considered as a successful prediction;

2- the existence of an important amount of aseismic deformation related to such a moderate size earthquake and the feasibility of monitoring these transients;

3- the evidence of a resolved acceleration of the strain rates one year prior to the earthquake;

4- the robustness of the Bayesian approach in detecting discontinuities in the times series, their magnitude and statistical significance. The discontinuities or jumps in the time series can correspond to coseismic deformation or time-dependent deformation such as creeping, slow motion, strain acceleration and transients in general;

5- when integrated with tested earthquake prediction algorithms, the capability to forecast earthquakes can be extended to the scale of the active fault systems.