



A comparative study of three simulation optimization algorithms for solving high dimensional multi-objective optimization problems in water resources

Niels Schütze (1), Thomas Wöhling (2), and Michael de Play (3)

(1) Dresden University of Technology, Germany (ns1@rcs.urz.tu-dresden.de), (2) Lincoln Environmental Research, Lincoln Ventures Ltd., Hamilton, New Zealand (Woehling@lvr.linc.ac.nz), (3) University of Tübingen, Wilhelm-Schickard-Institute for Computer Science, Germany (michael.depaly@uni-tuebingen.de)

Some real-world optimization problems in water resources have a high-dimensional space of decision variables and more than one objective function. In this work, we compare three general-purpose, multi-objective simulation optimization algorithms, namely NSGA-II, AMALGAM, and CMA-ES-MO when solving three real case Multi-objective Optimization Problems (MOPs): (i) a high-dimensional soil hydraulic parameter estimation problem; (ii) a multipurpose multi-reservoir operation problem; and (iii) a scheduling problem in deficit irrigation. We analyze the behaviour of the three algorithms on these test problems considering their formulations ranging from 40 up to 120 decision variables and 2 to 4 objectives. The computational effort required by each algorithm in order to reach the true Pareto front is also analyzed.