



Assessing the uncertainties of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ - and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ -values determined by EA-IRMS for palaeodietary studies

Kerstin Rumpelmayr (1), Andreas Pavlik (2), Eva Maria Wild (1), and Maria Teschler-Nicola (3)

(1) Faculty of Physics - Isotope Research, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria, (2) Faculty of Physics - Nuclear Physics, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria, (3) Department of Anthropology, Natural History Museum, Vienna, Austria

For palaeodietary studies the variation of the stable isotope ratios of carbon and nitrogen (given in the δ -notation as deviation [in ‰] of the respective isotope ratio of the sample from that of the corresponding international standard, VPDB and AIR, respectively) in bone collagen is widely used. Usually these parameters are determined with a continuous flow elemental analyzer–isotope ratio mass spectrometer (EA-IRMS) system. In such determinations it is advantageous and recommended to use for the normalization of the δ -values at least two reference materials with δ -values bracketing the expected δ -value range of the samples (see e.g. Paul et al.). Further, it is accepted practice in several laboratories to report the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ - and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ -values of palaeodiet samples as mean values of replicate determinations. Following this strategy implies a detailed investigation of various uncertainty contributions and their propagation.

At the beginning of a program on palaeodiet at our laboratory we established a suitable measurement protocol for stable isotope ratio measurements for palaeodiet reconstructions. The measurements were performed with a *CE Instruments NC2500* elemental analyzer coupled to a *Micromass Optima* isotope ratio mass spectrometer. In one sample batch multiple replicates of a laboratory standard (Merck alanine calibrated against international $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ reference materials) for monitoring the performance of the EA-IRMS system, and several replicates of various certified reference materials were measured together with the “unknown” collagen samples. The respective δ -values of the samples were normalized via linear regression calculated from the actually measured and the known δ -values of at least three reference materials present in the sample batch. In a few cases only two standard materials were included in the sample batch and thus a two point normalization was applied. A measure for the precision of the EA-IRMS measurements was derived from the standard deviation of the alanine replicates, either calculated from the variation of the replicates present in a single batch measurement, or estimated from their long-term variation.

Various components contributing to the overall uncertainties were explored for the final evaluation of the results. For the error propagation also the off-diagonal elements of the respective variance-covariance matrices were taken into account (e.g. Brandt). This procedure permits not only to calculate the combined uncertainty of the normalized δ -values, but also to estimate the contributions of the various sources of uncertainties to the final uncertainty value.

We present an in-depth investigation of the propagation of various uncertainty components and discuss the effect of considering covariances in the uncertainty analysis of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ determinations for palaeodietary investigations.

References:

Paul D., Skrzypek G., Fórizs I., Normalization of measured stable isotope compositions to isotope reference scales – a review, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 21 (2007) 3006-3014.

Brandt S., *Datenanalyse. Mit statistischen Methoden und Computerprogrammen*, 4. Auflage, Spektrum akademischer Verlag, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1999.