



The impact of vegetation on dissolved organic carbon quality and quantity in peatlands

Alona Armstrong (1), Rick Bourbonnier (2), Joseph Holden (3), Kate Luxton (4), John Quinton (1), and Mike Waddington (5)

(1) Lancaster University, Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster, United Kingdom (alona.armstrong@lancaster.ac.uk), (2) Environment Canada, Biogeochemistry Research, 867 Lakeshore Road, Burlington, Ontario L7R 4A6, Canada, (3) School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK, (4) United Utilities, Haweswater House, Lingley Mere Business Park, Great Sankey, Warrington, Cheshire, WA5 3LP, UK, (5) School of Geography and Earth Sciences, General Science Building Room 206, McMaster University, 1280 Main Street West, Hamilton, Ontario, L8S 4K1, Canada

Rising dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations in surface waters has prompted much research given its role in the carbon cycle, impact on aquatic ecosystems and implications for water treatment. Several hypotheses have been presented regarding the drivers of the increased DOC at the regional scale including decreasing sulphur deposition. However, local variations are apparent in DOC concentrations in peatlands which could potentially be influenced by land management activities. We present some pilot data from the plot to small catchment scale which suggests that vegetation type is a dominant control at the local scale: *Calluna* was associated with the highest DOC concentrations, *Molinia* and *Sphagnum* with the lower concentrations and sedges with intermediate concentrations. Furthermore, some controlled laboratory leaching experiments suggests that both the quantity and quality of dissolved organic carbon varies with vegetation type. The quality of DOC is important as controls its fate, impacts the aquatic ecosystem and has important implications for water treatment. The differences in DOC concentration and quality are attributable to the different physical, chemical and biological mechanisms and their relative dominance associated with each vegetation type. Given management activities and climate change alter vegetation cover we suggest that further understanding of the impact of vegetation on carbon cycling is required to minimize DOC loss from peatland catchments. This would provide the potential to reduce DOC export from peatlands through vegetation manipulation.