



Methodology of Detailed Geophysical Examination of the Areas of World Recognized Religious and Cultural Artifacts

Lev Eppelbaum

Tel Aviv University, Geophysics and Planetary Sciences, Tel Aviv, Israel (levap@post.tau.ac.il, +972 3 6409282)

It is obvious that noninvasive geophysical methods are the main interpreting tools at the areas of world recognized religious and cultural artifacts. Usually in these areas any excavations, drilling and infrastructure activity are forbidden or very strongly limited.

According to field experience and results of numerous modeling (Eppelbaum, 1999, 2000, 2009a, 2009b; Eppelbaum and Itkis, 2001, 2003; Eppelbaum et al., 2000, 2001a, 2001b, 2003a, 2006a, 2006b, 2007, 2010, Itkis et al., 2003; Neishtadt et al., 2006), a set of applied geophysical methods may include the following types of surveys: (1) magnetic, (3) GPR (ground penetration radar), (3) gravity, (4) electromagnetic VLF (very low frequency), (5) ER (electric resistivity), (6) SP (self-potential), (7) IP (induced polarization), (8) SE (seismoelectric), and (9) NST (near-surface temperature). As it was shown in (Eppelbaum, 2005), interpretation ambiguity may be sufficiently reduced not only by integrated analysis of several geophysical methods, but also by the way of multilevel observations of geophysical fields. Magnetic, gravity and VLF measurements may be performed at different levels over the earth's surface (0.1 – 3 m), ER, SP and SE observations may be obtained with different depth of electrodes grounding (0.1 – 1 m), and NST sensor may be located at a depth of 0.8 – 2.5 m. GPR method usually allows measuring electromagnetic fields at various frequencies (with corresponding changing of the investigation depth and other parameters). Influence of some typical noise factors to geophysical investigations at archaeological sites was investigated in (Eppelbaum and Khesin, 2001).

In many cases various constructions and walls are in the nearest vicinity of the examined artifacts. These constructions can be also utilized for carrying out geophysical measurements (magnetic, gravity and VLF) at different levels. Application of the modern ROV (remote operated vehicles) with registration of magnetic and VLF fields at the low altitudes (3-5 meters) will help geophysical cover all the studied area with a regular observation step (Eppelbaum, 2008). At the final step all these measurements (including results of the previous works) could be compiled to 4D models of different geophysical parameters (Eppelbaum and Ben-Avraham, 2002; Eppelbaum et al., 2010).

Analysis of temperature field in the boreholes drilled in the vicinity of the studied site will permit to estimate the temperature (e.g., Eppelbaum et al., 2006c) in the historical period when this artifact was constructed and, correspondingly, utilize this characteristic for investigation of mechanical and other properties of the ancient building material.

Studying of temporal variations of magnetic (e.g., Finkelstein and Eppelbaum) and VLF fields can be also used for determination of nature of some buried ancient remains.

The geophysical investigations must be combined with geochemical, paleostructural, paleobiogeographical, paleomorphological and other methods (Eppelbaum et al., 2010). Application of informational parameters (Khesin et al., 1996; Eppelbaum et al., 2003b) will permit to present all available data by the use of integral convolution units.

REFERENCES

Eppelbaum, L.V., 1999. Quantitative interpretation of resistivity anomalies using advanced methods developed in magnetic prospecting. *Trans. of the XXIV General Assembly of the Europ. Geoph. Soc.*, Strasburg 1 (1), p.166.

Eppelbaum, L.V., 2000. Applicability of geophysical methods for localization of archaeological targets: An introduction. *Geoinformatics*, 11, No.1, 19-28.

Eppelbaum, L.V., 2005. Multilevel observations of magnetic field at archaeological sites as additional interpreting tool. *Proceed. of the 6th Conference of Archaeological Prospection*, Roma, Italy, 4 pp.

Eppelbaum, L.V., 2008. Remote operated vehicle geophysical survey using magnetic and VLF methods: proposed schemes for data processing and interpretation. *Proceed. of the Symp. on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems*, Philadelphia, USA, 938-963.

Eppelbaum, L.V., 2009a. Near-surface temperature survey: An independent tool for buried archaeological targets delineation. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, **12**, Suppl.1, e93-e103.

Eppelbaum, L.V., 2009b. Application of microgravity at archaeological sites in Israel: some estimation derived from 3D modeling and quantitative analysis of gravity field. *Proceed. of the Symp. on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems*, Denver, USA, **22**, No. 1, 434-446.

Eppelbaum, L. and Ben-Avraham, Z., 2002. On the development of 4D geophysical Data Base of archaeological sites in Israel. *Trans. of the Conf. of the Israel Geol. Soc. Ann. Meet.*, MaHagan - Lake Kinneret, Israel, p.21.

Eppelbaum, L., Eppelbaum, V. and Ben-Avraham, Z., 2003. Formalization and estimation of integrated geological investigations: Informational Approach. *Geoinformatics*, **14**, No.3, 233-240.

Eppelbaum, L., Ben-Avraham, Z. and Itkis, S., 2003a. Ancient Roman Remains in Israel provide a challenge for physical-archaeological modeling techniques. *First Break*, **21** (2), 51-61.

Eppelbaum, L., Ben-Avraham, Z., Itkis, S., and Kouznetsov, S., 2001a. First results of self-potential method application at archaeological sites in Israel. *Trans. of the EUG XI Intern. Symp.*, Strasbourg, France, p. 657.

Eppelbaum, L.V. and Itkis, S.E., 2001. Detailed magnetic investigations at the ancient Roman site Banias II (northern Israel). *Proceed. of the 1st Intern Symp. on Soil and Archaeology*, Szazhalombatta, Hungary, 13-16.

Eppelbaum, L.V. and Itkis, S.E., 2003. Geophysical examination of the archaeological site Emmaus-Nicopolis (central Israel). *Collection of Papers of the XIXth International UNESCO Symposium "New Perspectives to Save the Cultural Heritage"*, Antalya, Turkey, 395-400.

Eppelbaum, L.V., Itkis, S.E., Fleckenstein, K.-H., and Fleckenstein, L., 2007. Latest results of geophysical-archaeological investigations at the Christian archaeological site Emmaus-Nicopolis (central Israel). *Proceed. of the 69th EAGE Conference*, P118, London, Great Britain, 5 pp.

Eppelbaum, L.V., Itkis, S.E., and Khesin, B.E., 2000. Optimization of magnetic investigations in the archaeological sites in Israel. In: *Special Issue of Prospezioni Archeologiche "Filtering, Modeling and Interpretation of Geophysical Fields at Archaeological Objects"*, 65-92.

Eppelbaum, L., Itkis, S., and Khesin, B., 2006a. Detailed magnetic survey unmasks Prehistoric archaeological sites in Israel. *Proceed. of the Symp. on the Application of Geophysics to Engineering and Environmental Problems*, Calgary, Canada, 1366-1373.

Eppelbaum, L.V. and Khesin, B.E., 2001. Disturbing factors in geophysical investigations at archaeological sites and ways of their elimination. *Trans. of the IV Conf. on Archaeological Prospection*, Vienna, Austria, 99-101.

Eppelbaum, L.V., Khesin, B.E., and Itkis, S.E., 2001b. Prompt magnetic investigations of archaeological remains in areas of infrastructure development: Israeli experience. *Archaeological Prospection*, **8** (3), 163-185.

Eppelbaum, L.V., Khesin, B.E., and Itkis, S.E., 2006b. Some peculiarities of geophysical investigations at archaeological sites in Israel. *Russian Archaeology*, No. 1, 59-70.

Eppelbaum, L.V., Khesin, B.E., and Itkis, S.E., 2010. Archaeological geophysics in arid environments: Examples from Israel. *Journal of Arid Environments*, **74**, No. 5.

Eppelbaum, L.V., Kutasov, I.M. and Barak, G., 2006c. Ground surface temperature histories inferred from 15 boreholes temperature profiles: Comparison of two approaches. *Earth Sciences Research Journal*, **10**, No. 1, 25-34.

Finkelstein, M.I. and Eppelbaum, L.V., 1997. Classification of the disturbing objects using interpretation of low-intensive temporary magnetic variations. *Trans. of the Conference of Geological Society of America*. Salt Lake

City, **29**, No.6, p. 326.

Itkis, S., Khesin, B., Eppelbaum, L., and Khalaily, H., 2003. The Natufian site of Eynan (Hula valley, northern Israel): Magnetic prospecting reveals new features. *Israel Journal of Earth Sciences*, **52** (3-4), 209-219.

Khesin, B.E., Alexeyev, V.V. and Eppelbaum, L.V., 1996. Interpretation of Geophysical Fields in Complicated Environments. *Kluwer Academic Publishers, Ser.: Modern Approaches in Geophysics*, Boston - Dordrecht - London, 368 pp.

Neishtadt, N., Eppelbaum, L. and Levitski, A., 2006. Application of seismo-electric phenomena in exploration geophysics: Review of Russian and Israeli experience. *Geophysics*, **71**, No.2, B41-B53.