



Role of Biofilms in Geological Carbon Sequestration

Robin Gerlach (1,2,3), Andrew C. Mitchell (2,3), Lee H. Spangler (3), Al B. Cunningham (2,3)

(1) (robin_g@biofilm.montana.edu), (2) Center for Biofilm Engineering, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA, (3) Energy Research Institute, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA

Geologic sequestration of CO₂ involves injection into underground formations including oil beds, deep un-minable coal seams, and deep saline aquifers with temperature and pressure conditions such that CO₂ will likely be in the supercritical state. Supercritical CO₂ (scCO₂) is only slightly soluble in water (approximately 4%) and it is therefore likely that two fluid phases will develop in the subsurface, an aqueous and a supercritical phase. Supercritical CO₂ is less dense and much less viscous than water therefore creating the potential for upward leakage of CO₂ through fractures, disturbed rock, or cement lining near injection wells. Our research focuses on microbially-based strategies for controlling leakage of CO₂ during geologic sequestration and enhancing the process of CO₂ trapping.

We have demonstrated that engineered microbial biofilms are capable of enhancing formation, mineral, and solubility trapping in carbon sequestration-relevant formation materials.

Batch and flow experiments at atmospheric and high pressures (> 74 bar) have shown the ability of microbial biofilms to decrease the permeability of natural and artificial porous media, survive the exposure to scCO₂, and facilitate the conversion of gaseous and supercritical CO₂ into long-term stable carbonate phases as well as increase the solubility of CO₂ in brines.

Successful development of these biologically-based concepts could result in microbially enhanced carbon sequestration strategies as well as CO₂ leakage mitigation technologies which can be applied either before CO₂ injection or as a remedial measure.

Acknowledgement: This work was funded by the Zero Emissions Research and Technology (ZERT) program (U.S. DOE Award No. DE-FC26-04NT42262). However any opinions, conclusions, findings or recommendations expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of DOE.