



## **Model inversion to improve estimates of autotrophic and heterotrophic soil respiration in winter wheat**

Nils Prolingheuer (1), Horst Hardelauf (1), Harry Vereecken (1), Jens-Arne Subke (2), and Michael Herbst (1)

(1) Agrosphere (IBG-3), Institute of Bio- and Geosciences, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany (n.prolingheuer@fz-juelich.de), (2) School of Natural Sciences, Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, United Kingdom

Soil respiration is the second largest flux of carbon between ecosystems and the atmosphere and small changes can lead to a huge impact on the global climate. Modelling soil respiration helps to improve the understanding of environmental processes. Especially the separation of soil respiration into its heterotrophic and autotrophic fraction is a current key challenge. In order to distinguish between heterotrophic and autotrophic soil respiration we coupled the SOILCO<sub>2</sub>-RothC model, calculating carbon turnover, carbon dioxide production and transport in the soil, with the crop growth model SUCROS. The original procedure of calculating plant root respiration in SOILCO<sub>2</sub>-RothC is based on a depth-specific optimal CO<sub>2</sub> production, which is modified according to depth-specific soil temperature, water content and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the soil air over time. This rather simple approach for plant root CO<sub>2</sub> production was replaced by the plant physiological approach implemented in SUCROS, based on relating maintenance and growth respiration to the CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate of the crop. We inverted the model for heterotrophic and autotrophic soil respiration using chamber-based flux measurements in a winter wheat stand near Jülich (Germany) for the growing periods of 2008 and 2009. The chamber-based separation of heterotrophic and autotrophic respiration was done by root exclusion. 7-cm and 50-cm soil collars were used to measure the sum of heterotrophic and autotrophic respiration and single heterotrophic respiration, respectively. Autotrophic respiration was then calculated as the difference between the CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes of the two collar types. Furthermore, the growth and biomass of the plants, soil temperature, soil water content and meteorological data were measured. From this, we improved the model in terms of a more realistic calculation of the plant root and microbial contributions to the overall soil respiration.