



## Is the planet desavannanising? Evidence for rainforest encroachment and tropical vegetation thickening from three continents

Franziska Schrotz (1), Simon L. Lewis (1), Jonathan Lloyd (2,1)

(1) University of Leeds, Earth and Biosphere Institute, School of Geography, United Kingdom (geofs@leeds.ac.uk), (2) University of Queensland, School of Geography, Planning and Environmental Management, Australia

The low-latitude tropics are dominated by large areas of both forests and the more open savanna vegetation type characterised by a mixture of trees and grasses. The highly dynamic nature of the physical interface between these two biomes, and thus the variability of their spatial extent, has been known for over a century. Nevertheless, our understanding of the magnitude and direction of change in the extent of these spatially extensive tropical ecosystems at a range of time scales remains limited (1, 2). This is particularly evident in so-called Zones of Transition (ZOT), where tropical forest and savanna co-exist in close proximity and where their presence/absence cannot be predicted from climate alone.

Here, we detail accumulating evidence for forest encroachment as opposed to savanna expansion currently occurring on all tropical continents. In some cases this is taking place despite increasing human population pressures and irrespective of land-use changes - a process contrary to what most global vegetation models currently predict (3).

According to the studies reviewed here, forest invades savanna in South America, Australia and Sub-Saharan Africa at an average rate of 50 m yr<sup>-1</sup> to 100 m yr<sup>-1</sup>. Rates of encroachment are locally highly variable ranging from 0.2 m yr<sup>-1</sup> to 362 m yr<sup>-1</sup> with canopy cover increases from 0.09% yr<sup>-1</sup> to 2.34% yr<sup>-1</sup>. This global trend of forest expanding into savannas experiencing widely different land-use practices, fire regimes, grazing histories and edaphic conditions points towards some global driver(s) of encroachment. Paleoecological and contemporary data suggest that a fertilisation effect due to the prime suspect - elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> - might indeed be important in driving this response. But as yet, the triggers of this shift in vegetation remain insufficiently understood and certainly at variance with predictions from global vegetation models. This is especially the case when the rates of transitions at some individual sites are considered.

### References

- 1.K.A. Longman et al., in P. A. Furley, J. Proctor, J. A. Ratter, *Nature and Dynamics of Forest-Savanna Boundaries* (Chapman & Hall, London, 1992), pp. 3-20.
- 2.D.S. Banfai, D. M. J. S. Bowman, Forty years of lowland monsoon rainforest expansion in Kakadu National Park, Northern Australia. *Biological Conservation* 131:553-565 (2006).
- 3.S. Sitch et al., Evaluation of the terrestrial carbon cycle, future plant geography and climate-carbon cycle feedbacks using five Dynamic Global Vegetation Models (DGVMs). *Global Change Biology* 14:2015-2039 (2008).