



Response of fine-grained sediment to a wildfire in British Columbia

Philip N. Owens (1), Ellen L. Petticrew (2), Tim Giles (3), William H. Blake (4), R. Dan Moore (5), Brett Eaton (5), Roland Bol (6), and Neil Williams (7)

(1) Environmental Science Program and Quesnel River Research Centre, University of Northern British Columbia, Prince George, British Columbia, V2N 4Z9, Canada (owensp@unbc.ca), (2) Geography Program and Quesnel River Research Centre, University of Northern British Columbia, Prince George, British Columbia, V2N 4Z9, Canada, (3) BC Ministry of Forests and Range, Kamloops, British Columbia, V2C 2T7, Canada, (4) School of Geography, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, U.K., (5) Department of Geography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, V6J 3M5, Canada, (6) North Wyke Research, North Wyke, Okehampton, Devon, EX20 2SB, U.K., (7) Scott Wilson Ltd, Leeds, LS1 1BA, U.K.

In August 2003 a severe wildfire burnt the majority of Fishtrap Creek, a 170 km² watershed near the city of Kamloops in central British Columbia. The objective of this study was to determine the influence of the wildfire on the amount and composition of fine sediment delivery and transport in the system and to see if the wildfire altered the main sources of sediment. In addition, the findings are compared with that of a nearby watershed, Jamieson Creek, with similar characteristics that was unburnt. In both watersheds, suspended sediment concentrations were determined using ISCO automatic water samplers. Changes in sediment sources were determined by collecting bulk sediment and source material samples, and by using the "fingerprinting approach" to identify sources. Results (also see Owens et al., 2006; Petticrew et al., 2006) suggest that following the wildfire there was no major response in fine sediment delivery and transport in Fishtrap Creek, when compared to Jamieson Creek, although there were noticeable differences in the composition of the fine sediment transported and stored in the channel bed. There was limited evidence of hillslope erosion and instead changes in sediment fluxes and sediment sources relate more to bank erosion processes, probably due to loss of root strength and cohesion. The results suggest that in some situations wildfire may not produce the dramatic increases in hillslope erosion and sediment transport often documented in other watersheds. In Fishtrap Creek channel bank erosion appears to be important in supplying fine material to the channel and this suggests that attention should be directed at managing the riparian zone in watersheds affected by wildfires.

References

Owens PN, Blake WH, Petticrew EL (2006). Changes in sediment sources following wildfire in mountainous terrain: a paired-catchment approach, British Columbia, Canada. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution: Focus*, 6, 273-281.
Petticrew EL, Owens PN, Giles TR (2006). Wildfire effects on the quantity and composition of suspended and gravel-stored sediment. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution: Focus*, 6, 283-292.