Single-well and inter-well tracer test design for characterizing the Heletz site (Israel) with a view at CCS
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The Heletz site in Israel was chosen for conducting a CO$_2$ transport experiment within the MUSTANG project, whose aim is to demonstrate and validate leading-edge techniques for CCS site characterization, process monitoring and risk assessment. Currently available knowledge on physical, hydrogeological and hydrogeochemical features of the Heletz site can be found at http://www.co2mustang.eu/Heletz.aspx

The Heletz major experiment will be preceded and accompanied by a sequence of single-well and inter-well tracer tests, aimed at characterizing

- the transport properties of the storage formation (cf. Behrens et al., 2010), and
- CO$_2$ – brine – rock interface processes (cf. Licha et al., 2009).

Generally, inter-well tracer tests are used to determine fluid residence time distributions (RTD); ’statistical’ moments of RTDs provide important information about the reservoir:

- the zeroth-order RTD moment can tell something about reservoir boundaries;
- the first-order RTD moment, or mean residence time (MRT) represents a measure of reservoir size (the reservoir volume that can be used for fluid storage, under a certain injection regime)
- higher-order RTD moments provide information about reservoir heterogeneity; traditionally, the second-order moment is associated with flow-path dispersion (from hydrodynamic up to reservoir scale); from RTD analysis also a flow-storage repartition (FSR) can be derived, which is sometimes interpreted as representing reservoir shape (Shook, 2003), with certain limitations when matrix diffusion or kinetic exchange processes become important (Behrens et al., 2010).

Complementarily, single-well tracer push-pull tests are used to quantify processes other than advection-dispersion: typically, the exchange of some extensive quantity (mass, energy) between mobile and immobile fluid regions by processes like sorption and/or matrix diffusion, whose magnitude depends on the density (area per volume) of involved fluid/rock interfaces. Flow-field reversal during the ’pull’ phase is supposed to largely compensate the effects of flow-path heterogeneity (excepting the hydrodynamic level), and to enhance the effects of tracer exchange processes at fluid-rock interfaces, thus enabling to quantify interface densities from measured tracer return signals; but this depends on whether the fluid volumes and flow/shut-in durations used in the push-pull test match the system’s homogeneity scale, the intrinsic diffusivity of rock matrix and its interface density. The sensitivity of tracer signals w. r. to interface densities depends upon the type of process that dominates at the space-time scale of the test, which can be:

- fast-equilibrium sorption,
- kinetic exchange (sorption-desorption), or matrix diffusion with high diffusivity (typical for heat exchange in fractured hard rock aquifers),
- matrix diffusion with low diffusivity (typical for most solutes in most rocks, and for heat exchange in unconsolidated, highly-porous aquifers).
At the Heletz site, four tracer tests will be conducted:

1. prior to CO$_2$ injection: dual-tracer single-well push-pull test (monopole divergent followed by convergent flow field), using several tracers with contrasting sorption and diffusion properties, aimed at characterizing fluid-rock interfaces and estimating fluid-rock interface densities (also serving as an aid in tracer species selection, dimensioning and instrumentation for all subsequent tests);

2. prior to CO$_2$ injection: brine-phase dual-tracer inter-well circulation test (forced-gradient, divergent-convergent dipole flow field), using two tracers with contrasting sorption or diffusion properties, aimed at estimating storage reservoir size, determining brine RTD and FSR, characterizing reservoir-scale heterogeneity

3. prior to main CO$_2$ transport experiment, but including small-sized CO$_2$ slugs: dual-tracer, single-well multiple-push of alternating brine/CO$_2$ slugs, followed by prolonged push stage, using single-phase tracers as well as phase-partitioning tracers, aimed at dynamic characterization of CO$_2$-brine-rock interfaces (Licha et al., 2009)

4. during the main CO$_2$ transport experiment: dual-tracer, inter-well injection-extraction test (forced-gradient, divergent-convergent dipole flow field), using single-phase tracers as well as phase-partitioning tracers, aimed at quantifying the storage capacity, characterizing brine displacement processes, and determining RTD and FSR under two-phase flow conditions.

The poster will explain the design and dimensioning of the first, second and fourth tracer test.

Unlike the brine-phase spiking conducted at the Ketzin site in Germany (www.co2sink.org), where only passive sampling was possible (yielding so-called ‘resident’ values of tracer concentration, inconsistent with the reservoir-scale transport equations), the Heletz experiment offers the advantage of fluid extraction at well-defined rates, rendering measured values of tracer concentrations (actually, tracer fluxes) consistent with the transport equations from which parameter inversion is endeavoured. Forced-gradient extraction of fluid is not meant to be representative of how a CCS site would be operated in reality, but it ensures the meaningfulness of measured experiment quantities. The MUSTANG experiment would not be worthwhile being conducted just to see ‘when’ CO$_2$ will arrive in a certain distance; its aim is not to mimic CCS, but to quantify transport processes, which is not possible without well-defined fluid and solute fluxes. Moreover, we want the fluxes measured at one borehole to reflect reservoir-scale fluid motion, and not just borehole-scale flow gradients induced by the particular device used to collect fluid samples.
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