





# The effect of aggregates on $N_2O$ emission from a drained peat soil

# P.C. Stolk<sup>1, 2</sup>, R.F.A. Hendriks<sup>2</sup>, C.M.J. Jacobs<sup>2</sup>, E.J. Moors<sup>2</sup>, P. Kabat<sup>1</sup>

#### Introduction

The temporal variability of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions is poorly understood. Thisis reflected in poor simulations of daily N<sub>2</sub>O emissions.

In this research we studied the effect of soil aggregates on  $N_2O$  emissions with a mobile-immobile model concept. We hypothesized that denitrification preferably occurs inside the aggregates, while transport occurs in between the aggregates. Diffusion of  $N_2O$  out of the aggregate is hampered, leading to a **delay** in the emission. This longer storage time in the soil is expected to lead to extra  $N_2O$  reduction and therefore **lower**  $N_2O$  emissions.

The objectives of this study were 1) to determine the effect of aggregates on  $N_2O$  prodeuction, reduction and emissions; 2) to test the model performance to simulate observed fluxes; and 3) to explore the limitations of the model.

#### Mobile-immobile model concept

The mobile-immobile model concept was implemented for N<sub>2</sub>O in SWAP-ANIMO. Here denitrification is located in the immobile part and all vertical transport in the mobile zone. The transfer rate  $R_{\rm tr}$  between the mobile and immobile zone is estimated with a mass transfer coefficient. k (d<sup>-1</sup>):

$$R_{\rm tr} = k \,\theta_* \left( \mathcal{C}_{\rm IM} - \mathcal{C}_{\rm MO} \right)$$

This equation is an approximation of diffusive transport in soil water and has often been used in mobile-immobile zone studies. For the description of the mass transfer coefficient k we follow Gerke and Genuchten (1993):

$$k = \frac{\beta}{a^2} D_{0,}$$

Here  $c_{MO}$  and  $c_{IM}$  (kg N m<sup>-3</sup> water) are the N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations in the mobile and immobile zone, respectively,  $\theta *$  (–) is the moisture fraction of the zone with the highest concentration,  $\beta$  (–) is an aggregate shape factor, a (m) is the radius or half-widht of the aggregate and  $D_{0,w}$  is the N<sub>2</sub>O diffusion coefficient in free water. For a full description see Stolk et al. (2011).



# Site and data

Observed daily N<sub>2</sub>O emission data were available for the site of Oukoop, a grassland site in the Netherlands used for intensive dairy farming. Fluxes were measured Augustus to November 2006 with the eddy covariance method.

 Table 1
 Characteristics of the Oukoop site

	Soil type	clayey peat
	Aggregation	Fine blocky to coarse prismatic
	Ground water level (cm)	0-70
	Fertilizer and manure (kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	353
	Annual N <sub>2</sub> O emission (kg N <sub>2</sub> O-N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	17.8 ± 58%





Figure 2 Comparison of simulated and observed N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes

The results of this study showed that aggregates strongly affect N<sub>2</sub>O emissions: peak emissions are lower, background emissions are slightly higher. The simulated annual emissions decreased more than 40 %. The model performance to simulate observed N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes strongly improved.

Table 1 Model performance to simulate observed emissions.

Statistic	Model with aggregates	Model without aggregates	
1 <sup>2</sup>	0.42	0.11	
Model efficiency	0.30	< 0	
RMSE	40	198	
RMSE/ standard dev.	0.83	4.09	
CRM <sup>1)</sup>	0.03	1.18	
<sup>1)</sup> CRM = coefficient of residual mass : $(\sum observed \cdot \sum simulated) / \sum observed$			

## Analysis







## Conclusion

Aggregated soils have lower emisssions than non-aggregated soils. This is mainly caused by extra reduction inside the aggregates. This effect is stronger for larger aggregates.

With an aggregate model we are able to simulate the dynamics of daily N20 fluxes from drained and fertilized peatland very well.

The effect of the changing aeration status on the N<sub>2</sub>:N<sub>2</sub>O ratio is dependent on the NO<sub>3</sub> content. It is expected that in NO<sub>3</sub> poor soils the effect of aggregates on the NO<sub>3</sub> concentration affects N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and should be taken into account.



⊠ petra.stolk@wur.nl http://www.ess.wur.nl/UK/people/petra+stolk/

(1) Wageningen University, Earth System Sciences Group (2) Alterra, Wageningen

References: Gerke and van Genuchten, Water Resour. Res., 29, 305-319, 1993; Stolk et al., Biogeosc. Disc., 8:3253-3287, 2011.



A decrease of the hydraulic conductivity in the top soil and subsequent more anaerobic conditions have inconsistent effects on the N<sub>2</sub>O emisisons. If there is enough nitrate to meet the potential electron production, this leads to higher emissions (DOY 283 and 291). If not,  $N_2O$ reduction is stimulated at the expense of N<sub>2</sub>O production, leading to lower emissions (DOY 275, 278, and 296).

