

<u>1. INTRODUCTION</u>

- The adverse effects of fogs on human life are clearly visible, especially on transport in its different ways: air, maritime and terrestrial; however, a well forecasting of fog is one of the goals still not achieved by the operational meteorological services. The physical processes involved in the evolution of fogs are not well understood, an therefore, not well parameterized in the weather forecasting models ^[1,2]. In particular, the role of the turbulence over the formation and dissipation of fogs is one of the most interesting features to study. While some authors establish that turbulence is a factor inhibiting the formation of fog [3], other found the opposite, i.e. turbulence acts favoring the formation of fog [4]. Maybe, a combination of both theories leads to the conclusion that there exists a threshold on the relation between turbulence and fog [5]

- This work is a preliminary investigation studying the relation between turbulence and fog (visibility < 1 km) from a detailed analysis of different observational data. WRF-**ARW**^[6] v3.2.1 model is also used to see how a very high resolution NWP model **simulates the fog.**

- The poster is divided into 3 sections: STATISTICAL ANALYSIS – CASE STUDY OBSERVATIONAL ANALYSIS – CASE STUDY WRF SIMULATION.

2. SITE AND DATA

- Data is taken from two meteorological towers (10 and 100 m) located at CIBA (Research Centre for the Lower Atmosphere), near Valladolid, Spain, placed on a fairly homogeneous terrain in the centre of an extensive plateau (41º49´N, 4º 56' W, 840 m asl). Also METAR visibility information is used, coming from Villanubla Airport, which is placed around 13 km in the SE direction from CIBA. (See poster XY584, this session, for site characteristics)

3. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

- A statistical analysis of meteorological parameters (including turbulent parameters) is done with more than 100 hours of fog (visibility < 1 km) with the goal of drawing the most appropriate conditions for fog events.

- A large amount of meteorological data during several radiation fog episodes (20 days in 4 different winter months of 2009 and 2010) over CIBA are statistically analyzed. The magnitudes analyzed are: wind speed, Bulk Richardson number, particles (r<1 μ m) concentration (PM1), mixing ratio, temperature difference $(T_{10}-T_{15})$, friction velocity, turbulent kinetic energy and sensible heat flux. 5 minutes means have been used.

- The analysis is done without data of formation/disipation of fog, where the values of the magnitudes can significantly change. Anyway, some data (outliers) can come from these hours, because of the possibly not agreement between CIBA and Villanubla airport (separated 13 km).

- Figure 1 shows:

• **Histograms** showing the relative frequency of the different values on the dataset.

• Boxplots displaying the dataset (minimum, lower quartile, median, upper quartile and maximum). It also indicates which observations can be considered outliers and it is useful to see the degree of dispersion and skewness in the data.







Table 1. Statistical values

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Ministry of Education and Science (projects CGL2006-12474-C03-03 and CGL2009-1279 C03-03). The GR58/08 program (supported BSCH and UCM) has also partially finance whis work through the Research Group "Micrometeorology and Climate Variabili (nº 910437). The authors thank Dr. Peláez Prof. Casanova for their help at CIBA and Dr De Miguel from the University of Valladolid for the use of the radiation data.

7. REFERENCES

Toth G. and Cober S. G., Pure Appl. Geophys., 164 (2007) 1121. **[4]** Welch R. M. and Welicki B. A., J. Appl. Meteorol., 25 (1986) 101. [5] Zhou B. and Ferrier B. S., J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol., 47 (2008) 1704. **[7]** Mellor, G. L., and T. Yamada, 1982. *Rev. Geophys. Space Phys., 20, 851–875.* [8] Sukoriansky, S., B. Galperinand I. Staroselsky. Physics of Fluids, 17, 085107–1–28, 2005. [9] Bougeault, P. and Lacarrere, P.: 1989, Mon. Wea. Rev. 117, 1872–1890.



Study of the interaction between fog and turbulence

C. Román-Cascón⁽¹⁾, F. Salamanca^(1,2), C. Yagüe⁽¹⁾, G. Maqueda⁽³⁾ and S. Viana⁽⁴⁾ (1) Dept. de Geofísica y Meteorología. Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain. (carlosromancascon@fis.ucm.es); (2) CIEMAT, Madrid, Spain. (3) Dept. de Astrofísica y Ciencias de la Atmósfera. Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain. (4) AEMET, Barcelona, Spain



- QNSE seems to be the best WRF PBL scheme used for fog detection in this experiment, however, the fogs were not well predicted every day, the model anticipated the fog formation and it transformed the fog in dense low clouds that did not exist in the reality at all. Further research is needed with more case studies.

- Figures 4 and 5 are comparisons between observations and model results of several parameters (temperature, relative humidity,

- Figure 6 shows liquid water content (LWC) (g/kg) at different heights for QNSE scheme and figure 7 represents vertical profiles of