



High-temperature, inter-mineral Mg stable isotope fractionation in mantle xenoliths

A. Stracke (1,2), E.T. Tipper (2,3), and M. Bizimis (4)

(1) Institut für Mineralogie, Westfälische Wilhelms Universität, Münster, Germany (stracke.andreas@uni-muenster.de), (2) Institute of Geochemistry and Petrology, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland, (3) School of Geography & Geosciences, University of St. Andrews, St Andrews KY16 9AL, U.K. (ett@st-andrews.ac.uk), (4) Department of Earth and Ocean Sciences, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, U.S. (mbizimis@geol.sc.edu)

The bulk Mg isotope composition of the silicate Earth is homogeneous and identical within analytical error to that of chondritic meteorites (Yang et al., 2009; Handler et al., 2009; Bourdon et al., 2010; Chakrabarti and Jacobsen, 2010; Schiller et al., 2010; Teng et al., 2010; Pogge van Strandmann et al., 2011). Systematic fractionations among minerals in mantle peridotites (Wiechert and Halliday, 2007; Handler et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2009; Young et al., 2009; Chakrabarti and Jacobsen, 2010) are resolvable, however, and are broadly consistent with theoretical predictions for high-temperature equilibrium Mg isotope fractionation using density functional perturbation theory (Schauble, 2011). The high-temperature equilibrium Mg isotope fractionations are predicted to correlate with coordination number (Schauble, 2011); the tetrahedral crystallographic sites (e.g., spinel) are expected to have higher $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ than the coexisting octahedral silicate sites. Theoretically predicted spinel – silicate $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ fractionations can thus be quite large, about 0.5 – 0.8‰ at 1000K (Schauble, 2011), and appear to be confirmed by recent data from two spinel peridotites (Young et al., 2009). Here we investigate the inter-mineral Mg isotope fractionation in five spinel peridotite and five garnet pyroxenite xenoliths from Salt Lake Crater, Hawaii. Mass balance calculations show that calculated whole rock $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ compositions of both the spinel peridotites and the garnet pyroxenites are within error of those of bulk silicate Earth, consistent with inter-mineral fractionations being due to equilibrium isotope fractionation. In the spinel peridotites, the $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ of olivine (ol), orthopyroxene (opx) and clinopyroxene (cpx) are indistinguishable within analytical error. In the three measured olivine-spinel pairs, the spinels (sp) have consistently higher $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ values than the olivines, by 0.21 - 0.28‰. The difference between spinel and olivine $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ values suggest an equilibration temperature of ca. 1700°C, which is, however, considerably higher than the calculated opx-cpx mineral equilibration temperature of ca. 1100°C. The $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ of garnet in the garnet pyroxenites is consistently lower by 0.38 - 0.45‰ than in the coexisting clinopyroxenes, consistent with theoretical predictions for high coordination number sites (Schauble, 2011). Hence, the coordination number of Mg exerts a first-order control on the $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ of the minerals at high temperature; the $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ values increase from garnet with a Mg coordination number of 8, to the octahedrally coordinated silicates ($\text{ol} \leq \text{opx} \leq \text{cpx}$) and the tetrahedrally coordinated spinels. However, a strong positive correlation of $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{spinel}}$ with the spinel Cr (Al) content or spinel Cr#, and of $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{cpx}}$ with spinel Cr#, shows that there is also a compositional control on the $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ values of individual minerals in mantle rocks. The latter may explain the discrepancy between calculated equilibration temperatures based on mineral equilibria (opx-cpx) and Mg isotope fractionation ($\delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{ol-sp}}$), and may hamper the use of spinel-silicate Mg isotope fractionation in magmatic rocks as a reliable geothermometer.

References:

- Bourdon, B., Tipper, E.T., Fitoussi, C., Stracke, A., 2010, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 74, 5069-5083.
- Chakrabarti, R., Jacobsen, S.B., 2010, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 293, 349-358.
- Handler, M.R., Baker, J.A., Schiller, M., Bennett, V.C., Yaxley, G.M., 2009, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 282, 306-313.
- Pogge von Strandmann, P.A.E., Elliott, T., Marschall, H.R., Coath, C., Lai, Y.-J., Jeffcoate, A.B., Ionov, D.A., 2011, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 75, 5247-5268.
- Schauble, E.A., 2011, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 75, 844-869.
- Schiller, M., Handler, M.R., Baker, J.A., 2010, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 297, 165-173.
- Teng, F.-Z., Li, W.-Y., Ke, S., Marty, B., Dauphas, N., Huang, S., Wu, F.-Y., Pourmand, A., 2010, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 74, 4150-4166.

Wiechert, U., Halliday, A.N., 2007, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 256, 360-371.

Yang, W., Teng, F.-Z., Zhang, H.-F., 2009, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 288, 475-482.

Young, E.D., Tonui, E., Manning, C.E., Schauble, E., Macris, C.A., 2009, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 288, 524-533.