



Geophysical Investigations in the Caucasus (1925 - 2012): Initial, Basic and Modern Stages

L.V. Eppelbaum

Tel Aviv University, Faculty of Exact Sciences, Dept. of Geophysical, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Tel Aviv, Israel
(levap@post.tau.ac.il, +9723 6409282)

The Caucasian Mountains occupy an area of about 440,000 km². A number of important mineral resources are concentrated there. Geophysical data on the geological structure of Caucasus can shed light on the basic principles of evolution of the Earth, the distribution of minerals and seismic activity. However, geophysical surveys under complex conditions are generally riddled by poor accessibility to certain mountainous regions, the unevenness of observation surfaces, as well as by a great variety and frequent changes of tectonic structures and geological bodies with variable physical properties. These factors either restrict geophysical surveys in difficult environments or confine the scope of useful information drawn from the results obtained. This has led to the development of special techniques in geophysical surveys, data processing and interpretation that draws heavily on the experience accumulated in the specific conditions of these mountainous regions.

First applied geophysical observations in the Caucasus region – thermal measurements in boreholes – were carried out by Bazevich (1881) in the Absheron Peninsula. At the same time, start of the initial stage is usually referred to as the mid 20-s of the XX century, when the rare, but systematic geophysical observations (mainly gravity and magnetic) were begun in some Caucasian areas. Somewhat later began to apply the resistivity method. Mid 30-s is characterized by the beginning of application of borehole geophysics and seismic prospecting. The marine seismics firstly in the former Soviet Union was tested in the Caspian Sea. In general, the initial stage is characterized by slow, but steady rise (except during World War II) lasted until 1960.

A basic stage (1960-1991) is characterized by very intensive employment of geophysical methods (apparently, any possible geophysical methods were tested in this region). At this time the Caucasus region is considered in the former Soviet Union as a geophysical polygon for testing different geophysical methods and methodologies in complicated environments. Airborne magnetic and gravity surveys covered all the Caucasus, regional seismic and magnetotelluric studies were used as reference profiles for deep structure investigation. Numerous effective applications of geophysical methods for searching ore, oil&gas deposits, building raw, fresh water localization, solving engineering, etc. was demonstrated. Seismological investigations (including different methods) were widely applied throughout the entire Caucasian region. Satellite geophysical examinations were successfully combined with other methods.

Finally, destruction of the former Soviet Union in 1991 (beginning of the modern stage) caused a sharp common decreasing of the geophysical activity in this region. Only foreign oil-&gas companies (mainly American and England) demonstrated some industrial geophysical activity basically in the Caspian Sea. In the last few years the situation began to straighten out, especially in the field of seismology.

This presentation is based of the author's experience (e.g., Eppelbaum, 1989, 1991, 2009; Eppelbaum et al., 1987; Eppelbaum and Finkelstein, 1998; Eppelbaum and Khesin, 1988, 1992, 2002, 2004, 2011, 2012; Eppelbaum and Mishne, 2011; Eppelbaum et al., 2003, 2004; Khesin et al., 1988, 1993a, 1993b, 1996, 1997; Khesin and Eppelbaum, 1986, 1994, 1997, 2007; Pilchin and Eppelbaum, 1997, 2011) and corresponding publications and reviews of other authors.

References

Bazevich, L., 1881. Geological description of the Absheron Peninsula. Materials on Geology of the Caucasus, Vol. III, p. 38 (in Russian).

Eppelbaum, L.V., 1989. *The development of methods for processing and interpretation of natural geophysical fields in prospecting for pyrite ores under mountainous conditions*. PhD Thesis, Inst. of Geophysics (Georgian Acad. of Sciences), Tbilisi.

Eppelbaum, L.V., 1991. Examples of terrain corrections in the VLF-method in the Caucasian region, USSR. *Geoexploration*, **28**, 67–75.

Eppelbaum, L.V., 2009. Near-surface temperature survey: An independent tool for buried archaeological targets delineation. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, **12**, Suppl. 1, e93–e103.

Eppelbaum, L.V., Bashirov, A.E. and Mishne, L.R., 1987. On the possibility of the Eötvös correction improvement in the marine gravity investigations (in Russian). *Proceed. of the 1st All-Union Conf. on Marine Geophysics*. Baku, USSR, 89–90.

Eppelbaum, L., Eppelbaum, V. and Ben-Avraham, Z., 2003. Formalization and estimation of integrated geological investigations: Informational Approach. *Geoinformatics*, **14**, No.3, 233–240.

Eppelbaum, L.V. and Finkelstein, M.I., 1998. Radon emanation, magnetic and VLF temporary variations: removing components not associated with dynamic processes. *Collection of Selected Papers of the XXVI General Assembly of the European Seismological Commission*, (Tel Aviv, Israel), 122–126.

Eppelbaum, L.V. and Khesin, B.E., 1988. Physical-geological models for pyrite deposits of the Filizchai and Lesser-Caucasian types. *Transactions of All-Union Meeting "Multifactor ore deposit models as the basis for developing effective methods of search, evaluation and prospecting"*, Tskhaltubo, Georgia, 126–127 (in Russian).

Eppelbaum, L.V. and Khesin, B.E., 1992. VLF-method: elimination of noise and quantitative interpretation. *Transactions of Regional Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility "1992 - From a Unified Region to a Unified World"*, Section "LF to ULF Electromagnetics and the Earth", 5.2.1, Tel Aviv, (1992), 1–6.

Eppelbaum, L.V. and Khesin, B.E., 2002. Some common aspects of magnetic, induced polarization and self-potential anomalies interpretation: implication for ore target localization. *Collection of Selected Papers of the IV Intern. Symp. on Problems of Eastern Mediterranean Geology*, 279–293.

Eppelbaum, L.V. and Khesin, B.E., 2004. Advanced 3-D modelling of gravity field unmasks reserves of a pyrite-polymetallic deposit: A case study from the Greater Caucasus. *First Break*, **22**, No. 11, 53–56.

Eppelbaum, L.V. and Khesin, B.E., 2011. Development of 3-D gravity-magnetic models of Earth's crust of Azerbaijan and adjacent areas: A generalized review. *Positioning*, **2**, No. 2, 84–102.

Eppelbaum, L.V. and Khesin, B.E., 2012. ***Geophysical Studies in the Caucasus***. Springer.

Eppelbaum, L.V., Khesin, B.E., Itkis S.E. and Ben-Avraham, Z., 2004. Advanced analysis of self-potential data in ore deposits and archaeological sites. *Proceed. of the 10th European Meeting of Environmental and Engineering Geophysics*, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 1–4.

Eppelbaum, L.V. and Mishne, A.R., 2011. Unmanned Airborne Magnetic and VLF investigations: Effective Geophysical Methodology of the Near Future. *Positioning*, **2**, No. 3, 112–133.

Khesin, B.E., Alexeyev, V.V. and Eppelbaum, L.V., 1988. Optimization of geophysical investigations in mountainous regions by increasing the effectiveness of interpretation. *In: Optimization of prospecting for economic ore minerals in mountainous regions* (in Russian). Moscow, Nedra, 79–122.

Khesin, B.E., Alexeyev, V.V. and Eppelbaum, L.V., 1993a. Investigation of geophysical fields in pyrite deposits under mountainous conditions. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, **30**, 187–204.

Khesin, B.E., Alexeyev, V.V. and Eppelbaum, L.V., 1993b. 3-D modeling of gravity and magnetic fields as a final stage of application of effective interpretation system of geophysical data under difficult geological conditions. *Geoinformatics*, **4**, No.3, 177–188.

Khesin, B.E., Alexeyev, V.V. and Eppelbaum, L.V., 1996. ***Interpretation of Geophysical Fields in Complicated Environments***. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Ser.: *Modern Approaches in Geophysics*, Dordrecht-Boston-London.

Khesin, B.E., Alexeyev, V.V. and Eppelbaum, L.V., 1997. Rapid methods for interpretation of induced polarization anomalies. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, **37**, No.2, 117–130.

Khesin, B.E. and Eppelbaum, L.V., 1986. Optimization of the set of methods in the system of geophysical prospecting of economic minerals. *Izvestiya AN Azerb. SSR, Ser.: Earth Sciences*, No.1, 89–93 (in Russian).

Khesin, B.E. and Eppelbaum, L.V., 1994. Near-surface thermal prospecting: Review of processing and interpretation. *Geophysics*, **59**, No.5, 744–752.

Khesin, B.E. and Eppelbaum, L.V., 1997. The number of geophysical methods required for target classification: quantitative estimation. *Geoinformatics*, **8**, No.1, 31–39.

Khesin, B.E. and Eppelbaum, L.V., 2007. Development of 3-D gravity/magnetic models of Earth's crust in complicated regions of Azerbaijan. Proceed. of the 69th EAGE Conference, P343, London, Great Britain, 1–5.

Pilchin, A.N. and Eppelbaum, L.V., 1997. Determination of magnetized bodies lower edges by using geothermal data. *Geophysical Journal International*, **128**, No.1, 167–174.

Pilchin, A.N. and Eppelbaum, L.V., 2011. The Early Earth, Formation and Evolution of the Lithosphere in the Hadean – Middle Archean. In: (Eds. Sato, F. and Nakamura, S.) ***Encyclopedia of Earth Science Research*** (3 Volume Set), Nova Science Publishers, N.Y., USA, 1-93.