Geophysical Research Abstracts
Vol. 14, EGU2012-2351, 2012
EGU General Assembly 2012

Incorporating landscape classifications in hydrological conceptual models A case study for a central European meso-scale catchment

S. Gharari (1), M. Hrachowitz (1), F. Fenicia (2), and H. H. G. Savenije (1)

(1) Delft University of Technology, Water Resources Management, Netherlands (shervangharari@yahoo.com), (2) Public Research Center – Gabriel Lippmann, Belvaux, Luxembourg

Landscape classification into meaningful hydrological units has important implications for hydrological modeling. Conceptual hydrological models, such as HBV- type models, are most commonly designed to represent catchments in a lumped or semi-distributed way at best, i.e. treating them as single entities or sometimes accounting for topographical and land cover variability by introducing some level of stratification. These oversimplifications can frequently lead to substantial misrepresentations of flow generating processes in the catchments in question, as feedback processes between topography, land cover and hydrology in different landscape units can arguably lead to distinct hydrological patterns.

By making use of readily available topographical information, hydrological units can be identified based on the concept of "Height above Nearest Drainage" (HAND; Rennó et al., 2008; Nobre et al., 2011). These hydrological units are characterized by different distinct hydrological behavior and can thus be assigned different model structures (Savenije, 2010). In this study we classified the Wark Catchment in Grand Duchy of Luxembourg which exhibits three distinct landscape units: plateau, wetland and hillslope using a 5×5 m^2 DEM. A revised and extended version of HAND gave preliminary estimates of uncertainty in the landscape unit identification as they were implemented in a stochastic framework. As the transition thresholds between the landscape units are a priori unknown, they were calibrated against landscape units observed in the field using a single probability based objective function. As a result, each grid cell of the DEM was characterized by a certain probability of being a certain landscape unit, producing maps of dominant landscape and therefore hydrological units.

The maps of the landscape classification using HAND and slope in a probabilistic framework were then used to determine the proportions of the three individual hydrological response units in the catchment. The classified landscapes were used to assign different model structures to the individual hydrological response units. As an example deep percolation was defined as dominant process for plateaus, rapid subsurface flow as dominant process for hillslopes and saturation overland flow as dominant process for wetlands. The modeled runoffs from each hydrological unit were combined in a parallel set-up to proportionally contribute to the total catchment runoff. The hydrological units are, in addition, linked by a common groundwater reservoir. The parallel hydrological units, although increasing the number of parameters, have the benefit of comparative calibration. As an example, one may consider the lag time of wetland to be shorter than the lag time of water traveling to the outlet from a plateau. Moreover, due to the dominance of forest on hillslopes in this catchment, hillslope interception should be higher than interception on plateaus which are mainly used for agriculture in the Wark catchment. Furthermore fluxes and processes can be compared. For example, actual evaporation from wetland can potentially be higher than other entities within a catchment as wetland is water logged and evaporation thus less supply limited than on plateaus. To include all the comparisons and criteria in calibration, an evolutionary algorithm was used. The algorithm was adapted and applied in a way that in subsequent steps more and more comparative criteria are forced to be satisfied. At the end of the calibration it is expected that all the criteria should be satisfied.

Including landscape classification into hydrological models seems to be a powerful tool which not only allows to consider and to make use of crucial feedback processes controlling the evolution of the hydrological system together with the eco-system but may also lead to more detailed information on how a catchment may work than a simple lumped model.