



## Experiments with models committees for flow forecasting

J. Ye (1), N. Kayastha (1), S.J. van Andel (1), F. Fenicia (2), D.P. Solomatine (1,3)

(1) UNESCO-IHE Institute for Water Education, Delft, Netherlands (d.solomatine@unesco-ihe.org), (2) Public Research Center – Gabriel Lippmann, Luxembourg, (3) Water Resources Section, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

In hydrological modelling typically a single model accounting for all possible hydrological loads, seasons and regimes is used. We argue however, that if a model is not complex enough (and this is the case if conceptual or semi-distributed models are used), then a single model can hardly capture all facets of a complex process, and hence more flexible modelling architectures are required. One possibility here is building several specialized models and making them responsible for various sub-processes. An output would be then a combination of outputs of individual models.

In machine learning this approach is widely applied: several learning models are combined in a committee (where each model has a “voting” right with a particular weight). In this presentation we concentrate on optimising the above mentioned process of building a model committee, and on various ways of (a) building individual specialized models (mainly concentrating on calibrating them on various subsets of data and regimes corresponding to hydrological sub-processes), and (b) on various ways of combining their outputs (using the ideas of a fuzzy committee with various parameterisations). In doing so, we extend the approaches developed in [1, 2] and present new results.

We consider this problem in multi-objective optimization setting (where objective functions correspond to different hydrological regimes) – leading to a number of Pareto-optimal model combinations from which the most appropriate for a given task can be chosen. Applications of the presented approach to flow forecasting are presented.

### References

- [1] D.P. Solomatine. Optimal modularization of learning models in forecasting environmental variables. Proc. of the iEMSs 3rd Biennial Meeting: "Summit on Environmental Modelling and Software" (A. Voinov, A. Jakeman, A. Rizzoli, eds.), Burlington, USA, July 2006.
- [2] Fenicia, F., Solomatine, D. P., Savenije, H. H. G. and Matgen, P. Soft combination of local models in a multi-objective framework. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 11, 1797-1809, Special Issue “Data-driven approaches, optimization and model integration: hydrological applications”, R. Abrahart, L. See, D. Solomatine, and E. Toth (eds.), 2007.