



Combined Magnetic - VLF Remote Operated Vehicle Multi-Altitude Observations: A Powerful Tool for the Transport Infrastructures Geophysical Monitoring

L.V. Eppelbaum

Tel Aviv University, Faculty of Exact Sciences, Dept. of Geophysical, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Tel Aviv, Israel
(levap@post.tau.ac.il, +9723 6409282)

A necessity of operative and effective geophysical monitoring of the various transport infrastructures and their surroundings is obvious (Proto et al., 2010). Unmanned or so-called Remote Operated Vehicles (ROV) geophysical survey is based on the application of the ROV of a new generation. The new unmanned generation of small and maneuvering vehicles can fly at levels of a few (even one) meters above the Earth's surface (following the relief or at some adjusted level) while simultaneously making geophysical measurements. ROV geophysical investigations have an extremely low exploitation cost (comparing with conventional airborne and land surveys) and high accuracy. Finally, measurements of geophysical fields at different observation levels can provide new, unique geological-geophysical information. ROV integration of magnetic and Very Low Frequency (VLF) electromagnetic fields is one of the most effective combinations (taking into account both similarity and mutual supplementation of these fields). The use of GPS with improved wide-band Kalman filtering enables to provide exact topogeodetic relations (e.g., Eppelbaum and Mishne, 2011). A nonconventional interpreting system developed for complex environments includes methods for localization of targets in noisy backgrounds (Khesin et al., 1996; Eppelbaum 2007a, 2007b), filtering temporary variations from the VLF field (Eppelbaum and Khesin, 1992) and secondary variations effect from magnetic field (Eppelbaum and Mishne, 1995), eliminating terrain relief influence (Eppelbaum, 1991; Khesin et al., 2000), estimation of the magnetization of the upper part of geological section (Eppelbaum et al., 2000; Eppelbaum, 2010), quantitative analysis of the observed anomalies (for conditions of oblique polarization, rugged relief and unknown level of the normal field) (Eppelbaum and Khesin, 1992; Eppelbaum et al., 2004; Eppelbaum, 2005, 2011; Eppelbaum and Mishne, 2011) and their integrated examination (by the use of informational and wavelet approaches) (Khesin and Eppelbaum, 1997; Eppelbaum et al., 2003; Eppelbaum et al., 2011). This system could be successfully applied at various scales of the ROV geophysical data analysis for the aim of geological-geophysical mapping (including delineation of faults, underground karst and rockslide areas), solving various environmental problems and performing geophysical monitoring of dangerous geological phenomena.

Acknowledgement

This investigation is funding from the European Community's FP7 Program under grant agreement No. 225663, Joint Call FP7-ICT-SEC-2007-1

REFERENCES

- Eppelbaum L.V., 1991. Examples of terrain corrections in the VLF-method in the Caucasian region, USSR. *Geoexploration*, 1991, **28**, 67–75.
- Eppelbaum L.V., 2005. Multilevel observations of magnetic field at archaeological sites as additional interpreting tool. *Proceed. of the 6th Conference of Archaeological Prospection*, Roma, Italy, 1–4.
- Eppelbaum, L.V., 2007a. Revealing of subterranean karst using modern analysis of potential and quasi-potential fields. *Proceed. of the 2007 SAGEEP Conference*, **20**, Denver, USA, 797–810.
- Eppelbaum, L.V., 2007b. Localization of Ring Structures in Earth's Environments. *Jour. of the Archaeological Soc. of the Slovakian Acad. of Sci., Spec. Issue: Arch. Prosp.*, **XLI**, 145–148.

- Eppelbaum L.V., 2010. An advanced methodology for Remote Operation Vehicle magnetic survey to delineate buried targets. *Trans. of the 20th General Meeting of the Intern. Mineralogical Association*, CH30G: Archaeometry (general session): Composition, technology and provenance of archaeological artifacts, Budapest, Hungary, p. 103.
- Eppelbaum, L.V., 2011a. Study of magnetic anomalies over archaeological targets in urban conditions. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, **36**, No. 16, 1318–1330.
- Eppelbaum, L., Ben-Avraham, Z. and Katz, Y., 2004. Integrated analysis of magnetic, paleomagnetic and K-Ar data in a tectonic complex region: an example from the Sea of Galilee. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **31**, No. 19, L19602.
- Eppelbaum, L., Eppelbaum, V. and Ben-Avraham, Z., 2003. Formalization and estimation of integrated geological investigations: Informational Approach. *Geoinformatics*, **14**, No.3, 233–240.
- Eppelbaum, L.V., Itkis, S.E. and Khesin, B.E., 2000. Optimization of magnetic investigations in the archaeological sites in Israel. In: *Special Issue of Prospezioni Archeologiche* “Filtering, Modeling and Interpretation of Geophysical Fields at Archaeological Objects”, 65–92.
- Eppelbaum L.V., Khesin B.E., 1992. VLF-method: elimination of noises and quantitative interpretation. *Coll. of selected Papers, Symp. on Electromagnetic Compatibility “1992 [U+F02D] From a Unified Region to a Unified World”*, Section “LF to ULF” Electromagnetics and the Earth, 5.2.1, Tel Aviv, 1992, 1–6.
- Eppelbaum L.V., Mishne A.R., High-precision magnetic survey: elimination of secondary time variations, *Trans. of the Conference of the Geological Society of America, Rocky Mountain, USA, 1995*, 27, No.4, p.10.
- Eppelbaum, L.V. and Mishne, A.R., 2011. Unmanned Airborne Magnetic and VLF investigations: Effective Geophysical Methodology of the Near Future. *Positioning*, **2**, No. 3 112–133.
- Khesin B.E., Alexeyev V.V., Eppelbaum L.V., 1996. *Interpretation of Geophysical Fields in Complicated Environments*. Kluwer Academic Publisher, **Ser.: Modern Approaches in Geophysics**, Dordrecht - London - Boston.
- Khesin, B.E. and Eppelbaum, L.V., 1997. The number of geophysical methods required for target classification: quantitative estimation. *Geoinformatics*, **8**, No.1, 31–39.
- Proto, M., Bavusi, M., Bernini, R., Bigagli, L., Bost, M., Bourquin, F., Cottineau, L.-M., Cuomo, V., Vecchia, P.D., Dolce, M., Dumoulin, J., Eppelbaum, L., Fornaro, C., Gustafsson, M., Hugenschmidt, J., Kaspersen, P., Kim, H., Lapenna, V., Leggio, M., Loperte, A., Mazzetti, P., Moroni, C., Nativi, S., Nordebo, S., Pacini, F., Palombo, A., Pascucci, S., Perrone, A., Pignatti, S., Ponzio, F.C., Rizzo, E., Soldovieri, F. and Taillade, F., 2010. Transport infrastructure surveillance and monitoring by electromagnetic sensing: the ISTIMES project. *Sensors*, **10**, 10620–10639, doi: 10.3390/s101210620.