



## GHG budget in a young subtropical hydroelectric reservoir: Nam Theun 2 case study

C. Deshmukh (1,2), F. Guérin (3,4), D. Serça (1), S. Descloux (5), V. Chanudet (5), and P. Guédant (6)

(1) Laboratoire d'Aérodynamique, Observatoire Midi-Pyrénées, Toulouse, France, (2) TERI University, New Delhi, India, (3) Geosciences Environnement Toulouse, Observatoire Midi-Pyrénées, Toulouse, France, (4) Departamento de Geoquímica, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Niterói-RJ, Brasil, (5) EDF-CIH, Bourget-du-Lac, France, (6) Nam Theun Power Company (NTPC) - Aquatic and Environmental Laboratory (AEL), Nakaï, Lao PDR

Dynamics of major greenhouse gases ( $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ) has been studied in a new subtropical hydroelectric reservoir (impounded in 2009), Nam Theun 2 (NT2), in Lao PDR, Asia. The main pathways of emission were quantified, i.e., ebullition (bubbling), surface diffusion, downstream emissions (diffusion and degassing) and emissions from the drawdown area (up to  $370 \text{ km}^2$  for a  $450 \text{ km}^2$  in the case of NT2). All presented results are coming from five field campaigns conducted from May 2009 to June 2011, and a monthly monitoring on 35 stations. Additional laboratory work in controlled conditions helped to assess production rates of  $\text{CH}_4$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , and aerobic  $\text{CH}_4$  oxidation rates.

The ebullition of  $\text{CH}_4$  is in the same order as from other tropical reservoirs, varying with depth and atmospheric pressure. Measured diffusive fluxes of  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  cover the whole range of reported fluxes for other tropical reservoirs, depending on the season. Diffusive fluxes of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , and  $\text{CH}_4$  downstream (degassing and diffusion) emissions are in the lower range as reported before for tropical reservoirs. On the opposite, the drawdown area would represent a significant contribution to  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission.

Our results for the year 2010 show that diffusive emission from the reservoir surface is the main contributor (46%) to total GHG emissions from the NT2 reservoir. With 25% and 19% of total GHG emissions, bubbling and drawdown area emissions also contributed significantly respectively. Downstream emissions from NT2 reservoir contributed around 10% of total GHG emissions, a percentage lower than reported for other reservoirs. With  $963 \text{ Gg CO}_2\text{eq yr}^{-1}$  and  $986 \text{ Gg CO}_2\text{eq yr}^{-1}$  respectively,  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  have almost the same contributions (48 and 49%) of the total GHG budget,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  accounting for less than 3% with  $64 \text{ Gg CO}_2\text{eq yr}^{-1}$ . With a total emissions from NT2 reservoir of  $2013 \text{ Gg CO}_2\text{eq yr}^{-1}$ , gross NT2 emission are about an order of magnitude higher than pre-impoundment emissions ( $276 \text{ Gg CO}_2\text{eq yr}^{-1}$ ). Net emission, that is the difference between post and pre-impoundment emissions (determined in 2008), which is the actual anthropogenic disturbance related to the reservoir creation is equal to  $1737 \text{ Gg CO}_2\text{eq yr}^{-1}$ . From the annual power generation of NT2 (about 6 TWh), this leads to an GHG emission factor of  $0.33 \text{ Mg of CO}_2\text{eq MWh}^{-1}$ , to be compared to a typical thermal power plant emission factor of  $0.85 \text{ Mg of CO}_2\text{eq MWh}^{-1}$ . This 2010 emission factor corresponds to the first year after impoundment for NT2, and as such, can be considered as the maximum value that will be reached for this reservoir.

Keywords: Aquatic ecosystem, carbon cycling in hydroelectric reservoir, GHG production, aerobic methane oxidation, GHG emission pathways, GHG budget, subtropical reservoir.