Plasmoid Dynamics in Resistive MHD Simulations of Magnetic Reconnection **Ravi Samtaney** Mechanical Engineering, PSE and MCSE Divisions King Abdullah University of Science and Technology N. F. Loureiro **IPFN** Portugal A. A. Schekochihin University of Oxford D. A. Uzdensky University of Colorado, Boulder

EGU 2012 April 25, 2012



Resistive MHD reconnection: an unsolved problem

- Resistive MHD is the simplest framework with which reconnection can be described.
- Until ~5 years ago, it was believed that resistive MHD reconnection was accurately described by the *Sweet-Parker (SP)* model.
- In most systems of interest, S>>1: SP model is too slow to explain observations. However, collisionless reconnection is fast
- However:
 - 1. Not all plasmas are collisionless. Many astrophysical environments are very high density solar chromosphere, interstellar medium, inside stars and accretion disks, etc so reconnection layer is collisional and resistive MHD should apply. Can reconnection be fast in these environments?
 - 2. Numerical evidence for current sheet instability to secondary islands (plasmoids) had been around for quite a while (e.g., Park '84, Steinolfson '84, Biskamp '86, Loureiro '05)
 - 3. Background turbulence may drastically affect the reconnection process, make it fast (Matthaeus & Lamkin '86, Lazarian & Vishniac '99, Kowal et al., '09, Loureiro et al., '09)

Current sheet instability: threshold

Linear resistive MHD theory (Loureiro et al. PRL 2005) predicts:

$$\gamma_{\max} \tau_A \sim S^{1/4}$$
 Super Alfvenic growth
 $k_{\max} L_{CS} \sim S^{3/8}$ Plasmoids galore

• To a good approximation, outflows in the CS are linear (Yamada *et al. '00*, Uzdensky & Kulsrud *'00*):

$$v_y \approx V_A y / L_{CS}$$

• For any perturbation to grow, its growth rate needs to exceed the shearing rate:

$$\gamma \tau_A >> 1 \Longrightarrow S^{1/4} >> 1$$

 \rightarrow Critical threshold for instability: $S_c \sim 10^4$



Numerical confirmation of linear theory

Numerical simulations confirm scalings predicted by linear theory (Samtaney *et al.*, PRL '09).



Hierarchical Plasmoid Chains

Long current sheets ($S > S_c \sim 10^4$) are violently unstable to multiple plasmoid formation.



(Shibata-Tanuma '01)

• Current layers between any two plasmoids are themselves unstable to the same instability if

 $S_n = L_n V_A / \eta > S_c$

• Plasmoid hierarchy ends at the critical layer: $L_c = S_c \eta / V_A \quad \delta_c = L_c / \sqrt{S_c}$ $cE_c = B_0 V_A / \sqrt{S_c}$

• $N \sim L / L_c$ plasmoids separated by nearcritical current sheets.

Plasmoid-dominated reconnection: ULS model

New theoretical model (ULS) (Uzdensky et al., PRL '10)

Key results:

• Nonlinear statistical steady state exists; *effective reconnection rate:*

 $E_{eff} \sim S_c^{-1/2} \sim 0.01 \rightarrow \text{ independent of } S!$

- Plasmoid flux and size distribution functions are: $f(\psi) \sim \psi^{-2}$; $f(w_x) \sim w_x^{-2}$ (because $\psi \sim w_x B_0$)
- *Monster* plasmoids form occasionally:

 $w_{max} \sim 0.1 L$ --- can disrupt the chain, observable...



2D Numerical results

Direct numerical simulations to investigate magnetic reconnection at $S>S_c$ and test assumptions and predictions of ULS model



Typical snapshot of B_y . S=10⁶, domain size: Lx=0.3L; Ly=0.5L; res. 16384² Outflows into most plasmoids are approximately Aflvénic



Reconnection and dissipation rates

$$\tilde{E}_{\text{eff}} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2L_y V_A} \int dy \, u_x(x = L_x, y) \right\rangle$$

$$Q_{\eta} = \langle \iint dx dy \, \eta j_z^2(x, y) / 2L_y B_0^2 V_A \rangle$$
$$Q_{\nu} = \langle \iint dx dy \, \nu \omega_z^2(x, y) / 2L_y V_A^3 \rangle$$





Plasmoid flux distribution function



Plasmoid width distribution function



Width vs. Flux Distribution Function



Monster plasmoid formation



Recent 3D Simulations

Lundquist = Reynolds No.	Guide Field B _z	Domain Size	Mesh Size
S=Re=10 ³	1.0	(0.3,1,1)	1024x512x128
10 ³	0.3	(0.3,1,0.3)	512x256x64
10 ³	3.0	(0.3,1,3)	512x256x64
10 ⁴	1.0	(0.3,1,1)	1024x512x128
104	0.3	(0.3,1,0.3)	512x256x64
104	3.0	(0.3,1,3)	512x256x64
10 ⁵	1.0	(0.3,1,1)	1024x512x128
10 ⁵	0.3	(0.3,1,0.3)	1024x512x128
10 ⁵	0.3	(0.3,1,0.6)	1024x512x256
10 ⁵	3.0	(0.3,1,3)	1024x512x128
10 ⁵	1.0	(0.3,1,1)	1024x512x128
10 ⁵	1.0	(0.3,1,2)	1024x512x256
3x10⁵	1.0	(0.3,1,1)	1024x512x128
10 ⁶	1.0	(0.3,1,1)	2048x512x128

All runs were performed on the IBM Blue Gene P Shaheen at KAUST on 8192 cores.

Motivation for 3D Simulations

- Questions remaining for single fluid resistive reconnection
 - Does the plasmoid enhanced reconnection rate hold in 3D?
 - How do the plasmoid statistics (flux, width distributions) change in 3D?
 - What is the effect of the guide field strength?
 - What is the morphology of plasmoids in 3D?
 - Validity of the ULS model in 3D?



Resolution Requirements

- Current sheet width: δ/L~S^{-1/2}. Relatively "easy" to resolve the thickness of the sheet even at high S number using stretched or adaptive mesh refinement (AMR)
- Recall: 2D linear stability => $k_{max} \sim S^{3/8}$
- Nonlinear simulation indicate average number of plasmoids in sheet N~ L/L_c ~ S/S_c
 - $S=10^6 \sim O(10^2)$ plasmoids $\Rightarrow >O(10^3)$ points along the current sheet
 - $-S=10^7 \rightarrow \sim O(10^4)$ points. Nearly impossible today?
- Further recall: plasmoid chains depict a hierarchical structure. This implies that even with AMR this is an extremely challenging computational problem KAU

Numerical Method

- Finite volume approach to solve resistive MHD equations
- Operator splitting with second order TVD Runge-Kutta for hyperbolic portion of the MHD equations
- Upwind methods with linearized Riemann solvers for MHD to compute hyperbolic fluxes
- Implicit treatment of diffusion terms

- Multigrid solver for diffusion

– Converges in 1 V-cycle with residuals $< 10^{-12}$

 div(B)=0 constraint enforced by evolving auxiliary equations for the vector potential A where B=curl(A)

Code Scalability/ Convergence Test



Simulation Results: S=10⁴



Z Axis ୁ%-Axis *t*=7.3 KAUST

Plane Averaged Electric Field



S=10³

S=10⁴

Reconnection is quasi2D for low S proceeding in a SP-like fashion



Dependence on B_z



Reconnection rate becomes independent of B_z for large B_z



Current Sheet Evolution: $S=10^{5}$, $B_z=1$











Time Averaged Reconnection Rate



Time Averaged Reconnection Rate

Average Dissipation



Time Averaged Dissipation

XY Plane-Averaged Electric Field





Time Evolution of Peak Current Density



Peak current density in current layer

Conclusions

- Current sheets predicted by the SP theory are *violently unstable* to the formation of *high wavenumber plasmoid chains* (Loureiro '07, Samtaney '09).
- *MHD reconnection in large S systems is fast, dynamic, bursty.* Sweet-Parker theory inadequate. Plasmoid-dominated reconnection requires *statistical description*. The *ULS model* (Uzdensky '10) *is the first attempt at quantifying plasmoid mediated reconnection*
- Numerical simulations in 2D confirm and partially amend the theoretical predictions of the *ULS* model
- Both the reconnection and the dissipation rates asymptote to S-independent values. ~ 40% of incoming energy dissipated into heat.
- Preliminary 3D simulations indicate that plasmoid-dominated reconnection rate is significantly larger than SP for $S=10^5$
 - Even larger resolution required to provide conclusive evidence for $S=10^6$ and beyond that reconnection rates asymptote to S-independent values
 - $S=10^3$, 10^4 reconnection is quasi2D with variations in z rapidly decaying away
 - Smaller guide field has a lower reconnection rate than larger values of B_z but reconnection rates (at least for lower S) become independent of B_z for B_z .

Acknowledgement

- KAUST Research Funds for R. Samtaney
- KAUST Supercomputing Laboratory for computer time on the IBM Blue Gene P (Shaheen) ~ 30 million core hours.

