



## Novel in-situ observations of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ in an urban environment

Felix R. Vogel, Lin Huang, and Doug Worthy

Environment Canada, Climate Research Division, Toronto, Canada (felix.vogel@ec.gc.ca)

Cities are reportedly responsible for approximately 75% of anthropogenic Greenhouse Gases (GHG) emissions while comprising only two percent of the land mass [1]. A thorough investigation of urban emissions is thus indispensable. Previous studies found that the emission characteristics of rural and urban areas significantly differ as different emission sectors are involved [2]. Big cities or urban agglomerations, in our case the Greater Toronto Area (GTA), Canada, are known to utilize more natural gas for residential heating [3]. This study investigates if in-situ  $^{13}\text{CO}_2$  measurements can be a tool to independently confirm this altered energy consumption behavior. We derive bottom-up estimates of the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  signature of fossil fuel  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions for the province of Ontario and a data set for the GTA using available  $\text{CO}_2$  emission data [3, 4]. As one can assume different isotopic compositions of the fossil fuel  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions from liquid, solid and gaseous fuels, we are left with a range of source signatures of  $-29\text{\textperthousand}$  to  $-31\text{\textperthousand}$  for Ontario,  $-30.5\text{\textperthousand}$  to  $-33.5\text{\textperthousand}$  for the GTA and  $-33\text{\textperthousand}$  to  $-37\text{\textperthousand}$  for the GTA during night-time, when accounting for the daily variations of residential heating and transportation. We further constrain the source signature for liquid and solid fuels using previous measurements of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  in elemental carbon (EC) which shows that this fraction has a mean isotopic signature of  $-26.36 \pm 0.12\text{\textperthousand}$  in winter, in our domain [5]. The main uncertainty for the bottom-up estimates is due to the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of the natural gas, which is assumed to range from  $-40\text{\textperthousand}$  to  $-48\text{\textperthousand}$ . This translates to an uncertainty of  $\sim 2\text{\textperthousand}$  in the bottom-up estimate. From our hourly atmospheric  $^{13}\text{CO}_2$  observations we derive an annual mean for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of  $-9.6\text{\textperthousand}$  and a standard deviation ( $1-\sigma$ ) of  $1.1\text{\textperthousand}$  for 2011-2012. The amplitude of the seasonal cycle is about  $1\text{\textperthousand}$  and the daily amplitude in the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  depletion frequently surpasses  $2\text{\textperthousand}$ . To exclude influences from biospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  sources and sinks we restrict our analysis to winter data and only interpret the night-time increase (0-6 a.m. local time), which is dominated by local fluxes. Our observed night-time  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{source}}$  data for January 2011 of  $-35.5 \pm 2.2\text{\textperthousand}$  lies below the “bottom-up estimates” for the province of Ontario, but is in-line with the best estimate for the GTA of  $-36.8 \pm 2\text{\textperthousand}$ . Our result seems to suggest that in-situ  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  observations might hold the potential to identify air masses from regions where energy consumption behaviors are different, but this preliminary study also shows clear limitations of this approach: (I) The observations are not yet able to constrain the source signature better than by about  $2\text{\textperthousand}$ . (II) The bottom-up estimates of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{source}}$ , even after using an additional observational data set ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  in EC), still rely on assumptions for the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of natural gas (i.e., the uncertainties of end-member). Additionally, this study emphasizes that the diurnal cycle of the different emission sectors has to be accounted for, when interpreting urban observations of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\text{CO}_2$ .

### References:

- [1] D. Dodman. 2009. Blaming cities for climate change? An analysis of urban greenhouse gas emissions inventories. *Environment and Urbanization*, 21, 185-201.
- [2] Hoornweg, D., L. Sugar, C. L. T. Gomez. 2011. Cities and greenhouse gas emissions: moving forward, *Environment and Urbanization*, 23, 207-227.
- [3] Environment Canada, Government of Canada. 2010. National Inventory Report 1990-2008: Greenhouse Gas Sources and Sinks in Canada. The Canadian Government’s Submission to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change. From: <http://www.ec.gc.ca/Publications>
- [4] ICF International. 2007. Greenhouse Gases and Air Pollutants in the City of Toronto: Toward a harmonized strategy for reducing emissions. From: <http://www.toronto.ca/taf/>
- [5] Huang, L., W. Zhang, S. Sharma, J. Brook, Y.S. Lee, R. Leitch, D. Ernst, Seasonal & Annual Variability in Aerosol Elemental Carbon Observations over Canada: Constraints on Changes of Fossil Fuel Emissions (<http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/annualconference/gmac2012.pdf>), presented at Global Monitoring Annual Conference 2012, Boulder, CO, US, May 14-17, 2012.