



## Triple oxygen isotope composition of tropospheric carbon dioxide and its temporal variation

Magdalena E. G. Hofmann (1), Balázs Horváth (2), and Andreas Pack (3)

(1) Max-Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany (mhofmann@bgc-jena.mpg.de), (2) Imprint Analytics GmbH, Neutal, Austria (horvath@imprint-analytics.at), (3) University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany (apack@uni-goettingen.de)

Conventional stable isotope analysis of carbon dioxide ( $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ ) is an excellent tool to investigate the atmospheric carbon cycle. In recent years, it has been suggested that investigations on the triple oxygen isotope composition ( $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$  and  $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ ) might complement traditional stable isotope analysis as this new tracer should reveal information on the terrestrial gross primary production (GPP) [1].

Here, we present high-precision triple oxygen isotope data of ambient air  $\text{CO}_2$  sampled in Göttingen (NW Germany) over the course of 2 years. Triple oxygen isotope analysis on  $\text{CO}_2$  was carried using a  $\text{CO}_2$ - $\text{CeO}_2$  equilibration technique [2]. All triple oxygen isotope data are reported as  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$  values relative to a  $\text{CO}_2$ -water equilibration line, i.e.  $\Delta^{17}\text{O} = \ln(\delta^{17}\text{O}+1) - 0.522 \times \ln(\delta^{18}\text{O}+1)$  [3]. Accuracy and precision of  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$  (single analysis) was better than  $\pm 0.05\text{‰}$  ( $1\sigma$ , SD). We compare our observational data to a revised triple oxygen isotope mass balance model of tropospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  where we reconcile both  $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$  and  $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$  fractionation processes.

Carbon dioxide sampled in Göttingen has a long-term mean triple oxygen isotope composition with  $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{VSMOW}} = 41.6 \pm 0.9\text{‰}$  (SD) and  $\Delta^{17}\text{O} = -0.03 \pm 0.07\text{‰}$  (SD). The  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  values follow the well-known seasonality with an amplitude of about  $1.0\text{‰}$ . The  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$  signal shows a temporal variation with a peak-to-peak range of about  $0.25\text{‰}$  that parallels the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  cycle from summer 2010 to winter 2011, i.e. maximum  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$  values during summer. During June, July and August 2012, however, the  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$  values are consistently lower ( $\Delta^{17}\text{O} = -0.07 \pm 0.05\text{‰}$  (SD)) than the observational data from summer 2010 and 2011 ( $\Delta^{17}\text{O} = +0.02 \pm 0.05\text{‰}$  (SD)).

The revised global mass balance model predicts a  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$  value for tropospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  of  $+0.06\text{‰}$ . A Monte Carlo simulation gives an uncertainty estimate intrinsic to our model of  $\pm 0.05\text{‰}$  (SD). The model confirms a sensitivity of  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$  of tropospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  to variations in the terrestrial gross primary production. However, estimates for seasonal variations in regional gross fluxes from the biosphere cannot explain the observed temporal variation in  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$  of tropospheric  $\text{CO}_2$ . Variations in anthropogenic  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions also cannot explain the  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$  trend. Thus, it may be that variations in the influx of stratospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  cause the observed temporal variation in  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ . However, we also suggest that future experimental studies should investigate in detail the  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$  signature of  $\text{CO}_2$  from biological sources to clarify the mechanisms controlling the triple oxygen isotope composition of tropospheric  $\text{CO}_2$ .

[1] Hoag, K.J., et al., Geophys. Res. Lett., 2005. 32(L02802): p. 1-5.

[2] Hofmann, M.E.G. and A. Pack, Anal. Chem., 2010. 82: p. 4357-4361.

[3] Hofmann, M.E.G., B. Horváth, and A. Pack, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 2012. 319-320: p. 159-164.