



Observations of the Antarctic Ozone Hole from 2003 to 2012

Geir O. Braathen (1) and the ozone hole Team

(1) World Meteorological Organization, Research, Geneva 2, Switzerland (gbraathen@wmo.int, +41 22 730 8049), (2) Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, De Bilt, Netherlands, (3) Alfred Wegener Institute, Potsdam, Germany, (4) Biospherical Inc., San Diego, CA, USA, (5) Dirección Meteorológica de Chile, Santiago, Chile, (6) University of Leeds, Leeds, UK, (7) Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Rome, Italy, (8) Bureau of Meteorology, Australia, (9) National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, CO, USA, (10) Environment Canada, Downsview, Ontario, Canada, (11) Dirección Nacional de Meteorología, Montevideo, Uruguay, (12) National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Camp Springs, MD, USA, (13) Servicio Meteorológico Nacional, Buenos Aires, Argentina, (14) Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Verrières-le-Buisson, France, (15) Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute, St Petersburg, Russia, (16) Klekociuk, A., Australian Antarctic Division, Kingston, Tasmania, Australia, (17) German Aerospace Center, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany, (18) Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA, USA, (19) National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research, Lauder, New Zealand, (20) National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Greenbelt, MD, USA

The Global Atmosphere Watch of WMO includes several stations in Antarctica that keep a close eye on the ozone layer during the ozone hole season. Observations made during the ozone holes from 2003 to 2012 will be compared to each other and interpreted in light of the meteorological conditions. Satellite observations will be used to get a more general picture of the size and depth of the ozone hole and will also be used to calculate various metrics for ozone hole severity. In 2003, 2005 and 2006, the ozone hole was relatively large with more ozone loss than normal. This is in particular the case for 2006, which by most ozone hole metrics was the most severe ozone hole on record. On the other hand, the ozone holes of 2004, 2007, 2010 and 2012 were less severe than normal, and only the very special ozone hole of 2002 had less ozone depletion when one regards the ozone holes of the last decade. The interannual variability will be discussed with the help of meteorological data, such as temperature conditions, possibility for polar stratospheric clouds, vortex shape and vortex longevity. Observations will also be compared to 3-D chemical transport model calculations.