



## **Combining active and passive remote sensing from research aircraft with atmospheric models to evaluate $\text{NO}_x$ emission fluxes and $\text{O}_3$ formation in the Los Angeles Megacity**

Sunil Baidar (1,2), Hilke Oetjen (1), Christoph Senff (1,3), Raul Alvarez II (3), Michael Hardesty (1,3), Andrew Langford (3), Si-Wan Kim (3), Michael Trainer (3), Rainer Volkamer (1,2)

(1) Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, Boulder, CO 80309, USA, (2) Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, USA, (3) Chemical Science Division, NOAA, Boulder, CO 80305, USA

Ozone ( $\text{O}_3$ ) and nitrogen dioxide ( $\text{NO}_2$ ) are two important components of air pollution. We have measured vertical column amounts of  $\text{NO}_2$ , and vertical profiles of  $\text{O}_3$  and wind speed by means of measurements of solar stray light by CU Airborne MAX-DOAS, and active remote sensing using the NOAA TOPAZ lidar, and the University of Leeds Doppler lidar aboard the NOAA Twin Otter research aircraft. A total of 52 flights (up to 4 hours each) were carried out between May 19 and July 19 2010 during the CalNex and CARES field campaigns. These flights cover most of California. The boundary layer height was measured by TOPAZ lidar, and trace gas concentrations of  $\text{NO}_2$  and  $\text{O}_3$  were integrated over boundary layer height. These column integrated quantities are then combined with direct wind speed measurements to quantify directly the pollutant flux across the boundary, as defined by the flight track. By tracking the pollution fluxes during transects that are flown upwind and in various distances downwind of a  $\text{NO}_x$  emission source, the  $\text{NO}_x$  emission rate, and the ozone formation rate are quantified. These pollutant fluxes are calculated here for the first time exclusively based on measurements (i.e. without need to infer wind speed from a model). These fluxes provide constraints to quantify localized  $\text{NO}_x$  emissions, and are being compared with WRF-Chem model simulations.