



A conversion of CO₂-ECBM related lab observations to reservoir requirements

Yves Gensterblum (1), Alexej Merkel (1), Andreas Busch (2), and Bernhard Krooß (1)

(1) RWTH Aachen University, Institute of Geology and Geochemistry of Petroleum and Coal, Petrophysics, 52056, Germany (gensterblum@lek.rwth-aachen.de), (2) Shell Global Solutions International B.V.,

To predict a CBM production profile either during primary or secondary production, aspects like coal permeability and porosity, density, ash and moisture content, initial gas-in-place (GIP) (from canister desorption tests), gas sorption capacity from laboratory isotherms (to obtain gas saturations and desorption pressure), gas diffusivities, coal volumetrics (thickness and areal extent) need to be understood as a minimum requirement.

When dealing with CO₂-ECBM selective adsorption, counter diffusion in the coal matrix, or coal shrinkage and swelling (from CH₄ desorption and CO₂ adsorption, respectively) and the influence of moisture need to be investigated in addition to the parameters above.

During CO₂-ECBM processes, the areal distribution of the CO₂ injected is accomplished by flow through the cleat network. When CO₂ is entering the coal matrix by a combined sorption/diffusion process it will adsorb to the coal inner surface and at the same time replace part of the CH₄. This replacement occurs either by a reduction in the CH₄ partial pressure or by a higher selective sorption of CO₂ over CH₄. Because of a concentration gradient between CH₄ in the matrix compared to the cleat system, CH₄ diffuses from the coal matrix into the cleat system where, by pressure drawdown towards a production well, it can be produced.

In this context this presentation summarizes gas (CO₂, CH₄) and water sorption on coal and specifically addresses the following topics:

- CH₄ and CO₂ sorption capacity as a function depth and rank
- CO₂ and CH₄ sorption on natural coals and its dependence on coal specific parameters like coal rank, maceral composition or ash content (Busch and Gensterblum, 2011).
- Water sorption on coal, its dependence on coal properties such as rank and coal chemistry and gas sorption in the presence of water (Busch and Gensterblum, 2011).
- Uncertainties in reservoir characterisation (Gensterblum et al., 2010; Gensterblum et al., 2009)
- Sorption uptake kinetic as a function of surface coverage and the influence of moisture on the kinetic

Busch, A. and Gensterblum, Y., 2011. CBM and CO₂-ECBM related sorption processes in coal: A review. *International Journal of Coal Geology*, 87: 49-71.

Gensterblum, Y. et al., 2010. European inter-laboratory comparison of high pressure CO₂ sorption isotherms II: Natural coals. *International Journal of Coal Geology*, 84(2): 115-124.

Gensterblum, Y. et al., 2009. European inter-laboratory comparison of high pressure CO₂ sorption isotherms. I: Activated carbon. *Carbon*, 47(13): 2958-2969.