



Influence of differences in latest GOSAT XCO₂ products on surface CO₂ flux estimation

Hiroshi Takagi (1), Robert Andres (2), Dmitry Belikov (3,1), Andrey Bril (1), Hartmut Boesch (4), Andre Butz (5), Sandrine Guerlet (6), Sander Houweling (6), Shamil Maksyutov (1), Isamu Morino (1), Tomohiro Oda (7,8), Chris O'Dell (7), Sergey Oshchepkov (1), Robert Parker (4), Makoto Saito (9), Tatsuya Yokota (1), Yukio Yoshida (1), and Vinu Valsala (10)

(1) National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan (takagi.hiroshi@nies.go.jp), (2) Oak Ridge National Laboratory, TN, USA, (3) National Institute of Polar Research, Tokyo, Japan, (4) University of Leicester, Leicester, UK, (5) Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Leopoldshafen, Germany, (6) Netherlands Institute for Space Research, Utrecht, Netherlands, (7) Colorado State University, CO, USA, (8) NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, CO, USA, (9) Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et l'Environnement, Gifsur Yvette, France, (10) Indian Institute for Tropical Meteorology, Pune, India

Elucidating the distribution and temporal variability of surface CO₂ fluxes is an active research topic in the field of contemporary carbon cycle dynamics. The technique often applied to this effort is atmospheric inverse modeling with which surface CO₂ fluxes are inferred. Investigations in the past were carried out by utilizing CO₂ measurements collected in global networks of surface-based monitoring sites. Now, datasets of column-averaged CO₂ dry air mole fraction (XCO₂) retrieved from spectral soundings collected by the Greenhouse gases Observing SATellite (GOSAT) are available for complementing the surface-based CO₂ observations. Those datasets have been shown to reduce uncertainty associated with the surface flux estimates. Currently, there exists five XCO₂ retrieval algorithms developed by four research groups/institutes worldwide: the National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES) GOSAT Project (two algorithms), the NASA ACOS (Atmospheric CO₂ Observations from Space) team, the Netherlands Institute for Space Research / Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany, and University of Leicester, UK. All of these algorithms have gone through several updates so far but still are under continual refinement. The current versions of the five XCO₂ retrieval products were shown to agree reasonably well with reference data obtained at the ground-based observation sites of the Total Carbon Column Observing Network (TCCON). It was found, however, that the inter-product consistency was variable when the five retrievals were inter-compared at locations away from the reference sites. As part of an ongoing effort in inter-comparing multiple GOSAT-based surface CO₂ flux estimates, we evaluated the influence of such inter-product XCO₂ differences on surface flux estimates. We did so by inferring monthly CO₂ fluxes in 64 sub-continental regions using a single inverse modeling system, the Level 4 data processing system developed within the framework of the NIES GOSAT Project. The input to the modeling system are monthly-mean GLOBALVIEW CO₂ values and each of the five independent XCO₂ retrievals that are gridded to 5° × 5° cells and averaged on a monthly time scale. We further simulated CO₂ concentrations in 3-D model space using the surface flux estimated. We herein report the degree of spread in the monthly flux estimates and the simulated CO₂ concentrations.