



Composition of uppermost mantle beneath the Northern Fennoscandia – numerical modeling and petrological interpretation

Ivan Virshylo (1), Elena Kozlovskaya (2), George Prodaivoda (1), Hanna Silvennoinen (2), and the POLENET/LAPNET Working Group Team

(1) Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Faculty of Geology, Kyiv, Ukraine (ivvir@univ.kiev.ua), (2) Sodankyla Geophysical Observatory/Oulu Unit, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland (elena.kozlovskaya@oulu.fi)

Studying of the uppermost mantle beneath the northern Fennoscandia is based on the data of the POLENET/LAPNET passive seismic array. Firstly, arrivals of P-waves of teleseismic events were inverted into P-wave velocity model using non-linear tomography (Silvennoinen et al., in preparation). The second stage was numerical petrological interpretation of referred above velocity model.

This study presents estimation of mineralogical composition of the uppermost mantle as a result of numerical modeling. There are many studies concerning calculation of seismic velocities for polymineral media under high pressure and temperature conditions (Afonso, Fernández, Ranalli, Griffin, & Connolly, 2008; Fulla et al., 2009; Hacker, 2004; Xu, Lithgow-Bertelloni, Stixrude, & Ritsema, 2008). The elastic properties under high pressure and temperature (PT) conditions were modelled using the expanded Hook's law – Duhamel-Neumann equation, which allows computation of thermoelastic strains. Furthermore, we used a matrix model with multi-component inclusions that has no any restrictions on shape, orientation or concentration of inclusions. Stochastic method of conditional moment with computation scheme of Mori-Tanaka (Prodaivoda, Khoroshun, Nazarenko, & Vyzhva, 2000) is applied instead of traditional Voigt-Reuss-Hill and Hashin-Shtrikman equations.

We developed software for both forward and inverse problem calculation. Inverse algorithm uses methods of global non-linear optimization. We prefer a “model-based” approach for ill-posed problem, which means that the problem is solved using geological and geophysical constraints for each parameter of a priori and final models. Additionally, we are checking at least several different hypothesis explaining how it is possible to get the solution with good fit to the observed data. If the a priori model is close to the real medium, the nearest solution would be found by the inversion. Otherwise, the global optimization is searching inside the restricted volume in the multi-dimensional parameter space. In order to constrain concentration of minerals we used equilibrium of mineral associations for selected P-T condition obtained by free Gibbs energy minimization (c.f. Stixrude & Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2005). We also considered the mineralogical composition of upper mantle xenoliths, although the representativeness of xenoliths in Precambrian rocks could be treated with care, if one tries to describe the modern mantle.

As a first step, we estimated 1D model of mineralogical composition in the depth range of 35-350 km using the IASP91 reference model (Kennett & Engdahl, 1991). Both the P- and S- wave velocities were used for inversion, in order to improve the reliability of the model. More comprehensive result could be obtained if density distribution is involved. In our study we used the 1D PEMC density model (Dziewonski, Hales & Lapwood, 1975) as it is the most adequate for the continental lithosphere.

The 1D modeling showed that the garnet lherzolite model (forsterite, fayalite, enstatite, ferrosilite, diopside, jadeite, pyrope) can be considered as a basic one. The end-members of olivine and orthopyroxene solutions were included with the aim of Fe/Mg ratio estimation. Testing with modified models including hedenbergite, harzburgite spinel, etc. showed that these minerals have no significant influence on bulk elastic properties. Selected set of minerals allows modelling the most species of peridotite-pyroxenite associations known from xenoliths investigations (Kukkonen, Kuusisto, Lehtonen, & Peltonen, 2008; Lehtonen, O'Brien, Peltonen, Johanson, & Pakkanen, 2004). However, there exist also a number of evidences for mantle eclogite xenoliths from the region under study and its surrounding (Lehtonen et al., 2004; Peltonen, Kinnunen, & Huhma, 2002). That is why we also made modelling for garnet-clinopyroxene model of eclogite. The volumetric mineral compositions obtained were transformed into weight concentration of rock-forming oxides using stoichiometric formulas.

The results indicate significant variation of Fe and Mg oxides concentration in the uppermost mantle. The Mg/Fe ratio could be different from the results of previous studies (Griffin et al., 2003; Svetov & Smolkin, 2003), but it is in agreement with the geophysical models considered in our study. At the same time the SiO₂ concentration is close to the chemical composition of xenoliths from the Fennoscandia, including Kola Peninsula and Central

Finland (Beard, Downes, Mason, & Vetrin, 2007; Kukkonen et al., 2008; Lehtonen et al., 2004).

Brief conclusions from our study could be formulated as follows:

- 1) Modelling confirms potential significant lateral inhomogeneity of mineral composition of the uppermost mantle of northern Fennoscandian Shield.
- 2) Lherzolitic composition of the mantle lithosphere generally explains seismic velocities obtained by teleseismic tomography in northern Fennoscandian Shield. It could be used as a primary a priori model for interpretation. But potential presence of eclogites cannot be rejected, at least for some parts of studied area.
- 3) The future study needs to include more precise evaluation of temperature and density in the upper mantle using gravity and heat flow data.

Afonso, J. C., Fernández, M., Ranalli, G., Griffin, W. L., & Connolly, J. a. D. (2008). Integrated geophysical-petrological modeling of the lithosphere and sublithospheric upper mantle: Methodology and applications. *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems*, 9(5). doi:10.1029/2007GC001834

Beard, a. D., Downes, H., Mason, P. R. D., & Vetrin, V. R. (2007). Depletion and enrichment processes in the lithospheric mantle beneath the Kola Peninsula (Russia): Evidence from spinel lherzolite and wehrlite xenoliths. *Lithos*, 94(1-4), 1–24. doi:10.1016/j.lithos.2006.02.002

Dziewonski, A.M., A.L. Hales, & E.R. Lapwood. (1975) Parametrically simple earth models consistent with geophysical data *Phys. Earth Plan. Int.* 10:12.

Fullea, J., Afonso, J. C., Connolly, J. A. D., Fernández, M., García-Castellanos, D., & Zeyen, H. (2009). Lit-Mod3D: An interactive 3-D software to model the thermal, compositional, density, seismological, and rheological structure of the lithosphere and sublithospheric upper mantle. *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems*, 10(8), 1–21. doi:10.1029/2009GC002391

Griffin, W. ., O'Reilly, S. ., Abe, N., Aulbach, S., Davies, R. ., Pearson, N. ., Doyle, B. ., et al. (2003). The origin and evolution of Archean lithospheric mantle. *Precambrian Research*, 127(1-3), 19–41. doi:10.1016/S0301-9268(03)00180-3

Hacker, B. R. (2004). Subduction Factory 3: An Excel worksheet and macro for calculating the densities, seismic wave speeds, and H₂O contents of minerals and rocks at pressure and temperature. *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems*, 5(1), 1–7. doi:10.1029/2003GC000614

Kennett B.L.N. & Engdahl E.R. (1991) Travel times for global earthquake location and phase association. *Geophysical Journal International*, 105:429-465.

Kukkonen, I., Kuusisto, M., Lehtonen, M., & Peltonen, P. (2008). Delamination of eclogitized lower crust: Control on the crust–mantle boundary in the central Fennoscandian shield. *Tectonophysics*, 457(3-4), 111–127. doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2008.04.029

Lehtonen, M. L., O'Brien, H. E., Peltonen, P., Johanson, B. S., & Pakkanen, L. K. (2004). Layered mantle at the Karelian Craton margin [U+202F]: P – T of mantle xenocrysts and xenoliths from the Kaavi – Kuopio kimberlites , Finland. *Lithos*, 77, 593–608. doi:10.1016/j.lithos.2004.04.026

Peltonen, P., Kinnunen, K. A., & Huhma, H. (2002). Petrology of two diamondiferous eclogite xenoliths from the Lahtojoki kimberlite pipe , eastern Finland. *Lithos*, 63, 151–164.

Prodaivoda, G. T., Khoroshun, L. P., Nazarenko, L. V., & Vyzhva, S. A. (2000). Mathematical modeling of the azimuthal anisotropy in thermoelastic properties of the oceanic upper mantle. *IzvestiyaPhysics of the Solid Earth*, 36(5), 394–405.

Stixrude, L., & Lithgow-Bertelloni, C. (2005). Thermodynamics of mantle minerals - I. Physical properties. *Geophysical Journal International*, 162(2), 610–632. Retrieved from <http://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/8891/>

Svetov, S. A., & Smolkin, V. F. (2003). Model P – T Conditions of High-Magnesia Magma Generation in the Precambrian of the Fennoscandian Shield. *Geochemistry International*, 41(8), 799–811.

Xu, W., Lithgow-Bertelloni, C., Stixrude, L., & Ritsema, J. (2008). The effect of bulk composition and temperature on mantle seismic structure. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 275(1-2), 70–79. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.08.012