



## **Fine Structure of Self-reversed Thermo-remanent Magnetization: Effects of Composition Waves Produced by Ordering During Quench and Annealing of Metastable Ferri-ilmenite Solid Solutions**

Suzanne McEnroe (1,4), Peter Robinson (2), Karl Fabian (2), Richard Harrison (3), Chris Thomas (4), Hiroki Mukai (5), Helmer Fjellvåg (4), Andrew Putnis (5), and Kathrine Svendby (2)

(1) Dept. of Geology and Mineral Resources Engineering, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway (suzanne.mcenroe@ntnu.no), (4) Dept. of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Norway, (2) Geological Survey of Norway, Trondheim, Norway, (3) Dept. of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge, UK, (5) Institut für Mineralogie, University of Münster, Germany

Magnetic experiments on synthetic ferri-ilmenite samples in the bulk composition range  $\text{Ilm } 60\text{-}70$ , quenched and annealed at high temperatures ( $T$ ), well above any magnetization temperature, throw new light on metastable chemical phenomena leading to fine-structure in the acquisition of thermoremanent magnetization. Growth of Fe-Ti -ordered domains in a disordered host, or growth and shrinking of adjacent Fe-Ti ordered domains against each other in the process of coarsening, lead to Fe-enrichment in some domains relative to others, influencing magnetization temperature. However, additional Fe-enrichment along domain boundaries during these processes produces Fe-enriched waves on the boundaries, where ferrimagnetic material near the wave crests, magnetizes at a higher  $T$  than the bulk of the sample. Because the boundaries are antiphase domain boundaries with opposite Fe-Ti ordering, opposite sides must acquire opposite magnetic moments during cooling, at a temperature above that where bulk normal magnetization begins. This is the “magnetic predestination  $T$ ” or “TPD”, because it sets the stage for normal and self-reversed magnetization on opposite sides of the phase domain boundary. The Fe-enrichment waves are not uniform in different parts of a sample; neither are the compositions along the domain walls. This means “TPD” is generally not a single temperature, but a  $T$  range, but reflecting only a small volume of the sample. With further cooling in a positive field, slightly less Fe-enriched but more voluminous ferrimagnetic regions begin to magnetize, leading to a positive magnetic peak, “TMAX”. Already here, even less Fe-enriched but still more voluminous ferrimagnetic material, influenced by the domain wall, begins to acquire self-reversed magnetization. This dominates in cooling below “TMAX”, eventually leading to totally self-reversed magnetization at “TFR”. A Curie temperature obviously cannot be measured meaningfully from a cooling curve in this material of varied composition; a graphically convenient point only gives a value for a significant fraction of material of composition where the normal thermoremanent magnetization is acquired. The Fe-enriched chemical waves on phase boundaries described here, that set the stage for acquisition of self-reversed thermoremanent magnetization in further cooling, are tantalizing close in position, though not in concept, to the “x-phase” on phase boundaries that Nord and Lawson thought to be the key to self-reversal. Phase boundaries on these synthetic ferri-ilmenite samples are under study using dark field TEM imaging.