



Open Geosciences Knowledge: foster Information Preparedness in a Disaster Resilience Perspective

Elena Rapisardi (1) and Sabina Di Franco (2)

(1) NatRisk, Interdepartmental Centre for Natural Risks, University of Turin, Italy, (2) CNR-IIA National Research Council - Institute of Atmospheric Pollution Research, Italy

Information in science communication is the ability and the capacity to transfer scientific knowledge to enable the understanding of communication content. Particularly, as stated in many documents and programs (e.g. UNISDR), a clear and correct information on hazards and emergency matters is crucial, either for practitioners or population, to cope with disaster and to allow collaboration to take the best decision. The Open Knowledge is defined as a set of criteria and conditions related to production, use and distribution, that include principles for better access to knowledge.

However, knowledge is a pillar to understand the world in itself and to guide human actions and interactions with the environment. A free and open access to knowledge in a wider perspective includes also an ethical topic that is strictly connected to the acting in terms of interactions and responsibilities, in other words with the purpose of knowledge. Focusing on "data" as a technical issue, could displace ethics and responsibility as external issues, enhancing the technical value of data. In this perspective "opening" to an open knowledge perspective could not only solve problems related to the technique, such as functionalities and efficiency, but it should foster sharing and collaboration expressed through ethics (praxis).

The web era frees the information, hence the internet "information deluge" brings to the idea of "encyclopedia" (and of Wikipedia) as a tool to "organize, control and filter" knowledge, to allow communication, knowledge transfer, education, and sense-making. Social media and crowdsourcing have considerable promise for supporting collaborative and innovative ways that reshape the information production and distribution. However, the debate is now facing an important concern related to true/false issues, focusing on validation, and liability. Without any doubt the massive use of Social Media during recent major and minor disasters highlighted a huge need of clear, correct, free and trustworthy information. The challenge is to find models and tools to build an open and structured knowledge to sustain a common understanding in Disaster Resilience [DR] in order to cope with risks and reduce the impact of disaster: to observe natural phenomena, to understand natural hazards dynamics and local risks, to improve and facilitate the access to validated and reliable information.