



## How secure is subsurface CO<sub>2</sub> storage? Controls on leakage in natural CO<sub>2</sub> reservoirs

Johannes Miocic (1), Stuart Gilfillan (1), Christopher McDermott (1), and Stuart Haszeldine (2)

(1) University of Edinburgh, Grant Institute, School of Geosciences, Edinburgh, United Kingdom  
(johannes.miocic@ed.ac.uk), (2) Scottish Carbon Capture and Storage

Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) is the only industrial scale technology available to directly reduce carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions from fossil fuelled power plants and large industrial point sources to the atmosphere. The technology includes the capture of CO<sub>2</sub> at the source and transport to subsurface storage sites, such as depleted hydrocarbon reservoirs or saline aquifers, where it is injected and stored for long periods of time. To have an impact on the greenhouse gas emissions it is crucial that there is no or only a very low amount of leakage of CO<sub>2</sub> from the storage sites to shallow aquifers or the surface.

CO<sub>2</sub> occurs naturally in reservoirs in the subsurface and has often been stored for millions of years without any leakage incidents. However, in some cases CO<sub>2</sub> migrates from the reservoir to the surface. Both leaking and non-leaking natural CO<sub>2</sub> reservoirs offer insights into the long-term behaviour of CO<sub>2</sub> in the subsurface and on the mechanisms that lead to either leakage or retention of CO<sub>2</sub>.

Here we present the results of a study on leakage mechanisms of natural CO<sub>2</sub> reservoirs worldwide. We compiled a global dataset of 49 well described natural CO<sub>2</sub> reservoirs of which six are leaking CO<sub>2</sub> to the surface, 40 retain CO<sub>2</sub> in the subsurface and for three reservoirs the evidence is inconclusive. Likelihood of leakage of CO<sub>2</sub> from a reservoir to the surface is governed by the state of CO<sub>2</sub> (supercritical vs. gaseous) and the pressure in the reservoir and the direct overburden. Reservoirs with gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> is more prone to leak CO<sub>2</sub> than reservoirs with dense supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>. If the reservoir pressure is close to or higher than the least principal stress leakage is likely to occur while reservoirs with pressures close to hydrostatic pressure and below 1200 m depth do not leak. Additionally, a positive pressure gradient from the reservoir into the caprock averts leakage of CO<sub>2</sub> into the caprock. Leakage of CO<sub>2</sub> occurs in all cases along a fault zone, indicating that faults play a major role when it comes to fluid migration from a reservoir. However, nearly 50% of the non-leaking studied reservoirs are also fault bound, demonstrating that faults are not always necessarily leakage pathways.