



## **Organically treated biochar increases plant production and reduces N<sub>2</sub>O emissions: mechanistic insights by <sup>15</sup>N tracing**

Claudia Kammann (1), Nicole Messerschmidt (1), Tim Clough (2), Hans-Peter Schmidt (3), Sven Marhan (4), Hans-Werner Koyro (1), Diedrich Steffens (1), and Christoph Müller (1)

(1) Justus-Liebig-University, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26-32, 35392 Giessen, Germany, (2) Lincoln University, Lincoln 7647, New Zealand, (3) Ithaka Institute, Arbaz, Switzerland, (4) University of Hohenheim, Emil-Wolff-Str. 27, 70593 Stuttgart, Germany

Pyrogenic carbon (biochar) offers considerable potential for carbon capture and soil storage (CCSS) compared to other, less recalcitrant soil-C additives. Recent meta-analysis demonstrated that it can significantly reduce agricultural N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Freshly produced biochars, however, do not always have yield-improving effects, i.e. there is no immediate economic incentive for using it. Hence, combining biochar with organic nutrient-rich amendments may be a promising agricultural strategy to accelerate CCSS, but it is unclear if biochar still reduces N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, in particular when it may act as nutrient carrier.

We explored the potential of biochar to improve the GHG-cost/yield ratio and thereby its socio-economic value as soil amendment in two subsequent studies under controlled conditions: (1) A proof-of-concept study where the effects of untreated biochar were compared to those of co-composted biochar combined with stepwise improved nutritional regimes (+/- compost; +/- mineral-N application), and (2) a <sup>15</sup>N-labeling-tracing study to unravel N exchange on biochar particles and N<sub>2</sub>O production and reduction mechanisms. Both studies were carried out in nutrient-poor sandy soils, the most likely initial target soils for biochar-CCSS strategies.

While the untreated biochar reduced plant growth under N-limiting conditions, or at best did not reduce it, the co-composted biochar always significantly stimulated plant growth. The relative stimulation was largest with the lowest nutrient additions (305% versus 61% of control with untreated biochar). Electro-ultra-filtration analyses revealed that the co-composted but not the untreated biochar carried considerable amounts of easily extractable as well as more strongly sorbed plant nutrients, in particular nitrate and phosphorus.

The subsequent <sup>15</sup>N labelling-tracing study revealed that the co-composted biochar still (i) acted as a mineral-N exchange site for nitrate and ammonium despite its N-preloading, (ii) reduced N<sub>2</sub>O emissions significantly, although it carried dissolved organic carbon and nitrate as prerequisites for denitrification; and that it thus (iii) significantly improved the GHG-cost/yield ratio of plant production.

Our results therefore encourage further investigations on strategies where nutrient-rich agricultural waste streams are combined with biochar post-treatment. Cascading use of biochar in agriculture may have the potential to evolve into a key CCSS technology to turn agriculture from being part of the problem into being part of the solution.