Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 16, EGU2014-16811, 2014 EGU General Assembly 2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Tropospheric chlorine isotope measurements in CFC-11, CFC-12 and CFC-113

Sam Allin, Jan Kaiser, Johannes Laube, and Bill Sturges

Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

In 2010, we reported the first measurements of chlorine isotope fractionation in stratospheric dichlorodifluoromethane (CF₂Cl₂, CFC-12) (Laube et al., Science 329:1167, 2010). We found an increase in the isotope delta, $\delta(^{37}\text{Cl})$, with altitude and a tight correlation between $\ln[1 + \delta(^{37}\text{Cl})]$ and $\ln(\text{mixing ratio})$. The derived apparent isotope fractionation was $\epsilon_{\text{app}} = (-12 \pm 2) \%$.

The stratospheric isotopic fractionation should lead to a continuous increase of the tropospheric chlorine isotope delta while CFC-12 is still emitted into the atmosphere. Provided the source signature has not changed, we predict a 2.5 to 3 \%0 increase since when CFC emissions started in the 1930s until 2010, with the strongest increase in recent years (about 1 \%0 per decade since the mid-1990s).

We have now measured the chlorine isotope delta of CFC-12 as well as CFC-11 (trichlorofluoromethane, CFCl₃) and CFC-113 (1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, $C_2F_3Cl_3$) in the Cape Grim Air Archive (1978 to 2010) and Arctic (NEEM, Greenland) and Antarctic firn samples (Fletcher, West Antarctica). The deepest firn samples include a significant proportion of older air from before 1978. The repeatability for individual samples was $\pm 2.6 \,\%$ for CFC-12 and $\pm 2.7 \,\%$ for CFC-11 and $\pm 3.7 \,\%$ for CFC-113. The results show no significant trends in $\delta(^{37}\text{Cl})$ over the whole time period; however, there is a small positive trend for the latter period of the samples of $(0.3 \pm 0.1) \,\%$ per decade for CFC-12 since 1997, which explains at third of the predicted trend. The discrepancy between observed and predicted trends may be due to offsetting changes in the source isotope signature: If the there was decrease in the isotope delta of the emissions over time, this would reduce the predicted increase caused by downward transport of ^{37}Cl -enriched stratospheric air.