



## Comparison of iterative methods and preconditioners for the solution of miscible two-phase flow in heterogeneous porous media

Henrik Büsing

RWTH Aachen University, Institute for Applied Geophysics and Geothermal Energy, E.ON Energy Research Center, Aachen, Germany (hbuesing@eonerc.rwth-aachen.de)

The geological sequestration of CO<sub>2</sub> is considered as one option to mitigate anthropogenic effects on climate change. To describe the behavior of CO<sub>2</sub> underground we consider mass balance equations for the two phases, CO<sub>2</sub> and brine, which include the dissolution of CO<sub>2</sub> into the brine phase and of H<sub>2</sub>O into the gas phase (c.f. [1]).

After discretization in time with the implicit Euler method and in space with the Box method (c.f. [2]), we end up with a nonlinear system of equations. Newton's method is used to solve these systems, where the required Jacobians are obtained by automatic differentiation (AD) (c.f. [3]). In contrast to approximate Jacobians via finite differences, AD gives exact Jacobians through a source code transformation. These exact Jacobians have the advantage that no additional errors are introduced by the derivative computation. In consequence, fewer Newton iterations are needed and a performance increase during derivative computation can be observed (c.f. [4]).

During the initial stage of a CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration scenario the movement of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume is driven by advective and buoyancy forces. After injection is finished solubility and density driven flow become dominant. We examine the performance of different iterative solvers and preconditioners for these two stages. To this end, we consider standard ILU preconditioning with BiCGStab as iterative solver, as well as GMRES, and algebraic and geometric multigrid methods.

Our test example considers, on the one hand, a homogeneous permeability distribution and, on the other hand, a heterogeneous one. In the latter case we sample a heterogeneous porosity field from a Gaussian distribution and, subsequently, derive the corresponding permeabilities after [5]. Finally, we examine to which extent the amount of dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> depends on the heterogeneities in the reservoir.

## References

- [1] Spycher, N., Pruess, K., & Ennis-King, J., 2003. CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O mixtures in the geological sequestration of CO<sub>2</sub>. I. Assessment and calculation of mutual solubilities from 12 to 100 °C and up to 600 bar, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 67(16), 3015–3031.
- [2] Huber, R. & Helmig, R., 2000. Node-centered finite volume discretizations for the numerical simulation of multiphase flow in heterogeneous porous media, *Computational Geosciences*, 4, 141–164.
- [3] Griewank, A. & Walther, A., 2008. *Evaluating Derivatives: Principles and Techniques of Algorithmic Differentiation*, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2nd edn.
- [4] Büsing, H., Willkomm, J., Bischof, C. H., & Clauser, C., 2012. Using exact Jacobians in an implicit Newton method for solving multiphase flow in porous media, *International Journal for Computational Science and Engineering*, (to appear).
- [5] Pape, H., Clauser, C., & Iffland, J., 2000. Variation of permeability with porosity in sandstone diagenesis interpreted with a fractal pore space model, *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 157, 603–619.