

National Jniversity of

Theodosios K. Papathanasiou¹, Angeliki Karperaki^{1*}, Efstathios E. Theotokoglou¹, and Kostas Belibassakis² ¹School of Applied Mathematical and Physical Science, National Technical University of Athens, Iroon Polytechniou 5, 15773 Athens, Greece ²School of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering, National Technical University of Athens, Iroon Polytechniou 9, 15773 Athens, Greece

1. Introduction and Research Motivation

Ice sheets act as climate controllers, regulating temperature and ocean current circulation, with profound effects on global weather patterns and climatic change. The stability of ice shelves extending into the ocean is greatly influenced by ocean wave forcing.

The acknowledgement of the adverse effects of climate change has motivated scientific research in the field of ocean wave-ice interaction, as wave trains are bound to become rougher while elevated temperatures weaken ice formations.

The calving of the Sulzberger Ice Shelf, Antartica, in 11 March 2011 induced by the Honsu earthquake and subsequent Tsunami, showed evidence of the detrimental impact of tsunami excitation on a previously stable ice formation [1].



Figure 1.2: ASAR images of the Sulzberger Ice shelf, before and after the 2011 tsunami (Source http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/NaturalHazards)

d(x)

m(x)

Figure 1.1 : Ice shelf schematic (Source :http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/NaturalHa

• Our research focuses on the transient response of ice shelves under long wave excitation. Notably, similar analysis applies to the study of Very Large Floating Structures [2].

• Our aim is to employ the finite element method in order to develop a computational tool for the determination of stress fields, induced by long waves, in ice shelves.

2. Governing Equations

* Consider an elastic, heterogeneous, thin plate of length L fixed on one end, and resting on an inviscid, incompressible fluid layer over impermeable bottom. The plate represents the ice shelf extending into the ocean (see *fig. 1.1*), subjected to water wave induced forcing.

: Bathymetry function

: Thickness function

elevation/plate deflection

: Flexural rigidity of the

Acceleration of gravity

: Upper surface

 $\varphi(x, z, t)$: Velocity potential

: Plate load

Plate

wavelength

water depth

Initial – Boundary Value Hydroelastic problem

$$\begin{split} \nabla^2 \varphi &= 0 \text{ in } \Omega \\ \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{n} &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_b \\ \varphi_{tt} &+ g \varphi_z &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_f \\ m \eta_{tt} &+ (D \eta_{xx})_{xx} &= q + p \text{ on } \Sigma \\ \varphi_x &= 0 \left(x \to \infty \right) \text{ on } \Gamma_l \end{split}$$

Initial excitation: $\eta(x,0) = \eta_0(x)$

Long Wave approximation



 $\eta(x,t)$ mass per unit length z = -H(x)

Figure 2.1 : Schematic diagram of the general 2-D initial-boundary value problem (extends infinitely in *y* direction)

Introducing the nondimensional quantities

$$\tilde{x} = x / L, \ \tilde{\eta} = \eta / L, \ \tilde{t} = t \sqrt{gL^{-1}}, \ \tilde{\varphi}_0 = g^{-1/2} L^{-3/2} \varphi_0, \ \tilde{\varphi}_1 = g^{-1}$$

and dropping tildes:

$$M\eta_{tt} + (K\eta_{xx})_{xx} + \eta + \varphi_{0t} = Q, x \in S_0 \equiv (0,1)$$

$$\eta_t + (B\varphi_{0x})_x = 0, x \in S_0 \equiv (0,1)$$

$$\varphi_{1tt} - (B\varphi_{1t})_x = 0, x \in S_1 \equiv (1,+\infty)$$

shallow water problem

$$M(x) = \frac{m(x)}{\rho_w L}, \quad K(x) = \frac{D(x)}{\rho_w g L^4}, \quad B(x) = \frac{H(x)}{L}, \quad Q(x,t) = \frac{q(x,t)}{\rho_w g L}, \quad S(x) = \frac{\eta_0(x)}{L} \quad \left| \begin{array}{c} \varphi_0 : \text{Velocity Pot} \\ \varphi_1 : \text{Velocity Pot} \\ \varphi_1 : \text{Velocity Pot} \\ \varphi_2 : \text{Velocity Pot} \\ \varphi_3 : \text{Velocity Pot} \\ \varphi_4 : \text{Veloc$$

Boundary conditions:	Interface conditions:	Initial conditions:	
$\eta(0,t) = \eta_x(0,t) = 0$	$B(1^{-})\varphi_{0x}(1^{-},t)$	$\eta(x,0) = \eta_t(x,0) = \varphi_{0x}(x,0) = 0$, in S_0	Bending Moment: M_b =
$\eta_{xx}(1,t) = \eta_{xxx}(1,t) = 0$	$= B(1^{+})\varphi_{1x}(1^{+},t)$	$\varphi_{1x}(x,0) = 0, \varphi_{1x}(x,0) = -S(x), \text{ in } S_1$	Shear Force: $V =$
$\varphi_{0x}(0,t) = 0$	$\varphi_{0t}(1^-,t) = \varphi_{1t}(1^+,t)$		

Hydroelastic analysis of ice shelves under long wave excitation

3. Finite Elements

✤ In order to derive the variational formulation, equations (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) are multiplied by the weight functions, $v \in H^2(S_0): v(0) = v_1(0) = 0$, $w_0 \in H^1(S_0) = H^1(S_1)$ respectively and integrated over their domain.

$$\int_{0}^{1} M v \eta_{tt} dx + \int_{0}^{1} K v_{xx} \eta_{xx} dx + \int_{0}^{1} v \eta dx + \int_{0}^{1} v \varphi_{0t} dx = \int_{0}^{1} v Q(x, t) dx$$
(3.1)

$$\int_{0}^{1} w_{0} \eta_{t} dx + \left[B w_{0} \varphi_{0x} \right]_{0}^{1} - \int_{0}^{1} B w_{0x} \varphi_{0x} dx = 0$$
(3.2)

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} w_{\mathbf{l}} \varphi_{\mathbf{l}tt} dx - \left[B w_{\mathbf{l}} \varphi_{\mathbf{l}x} \right]_{\mathbf{l}}^{\infty} + \int_{1}^{\infty} B w_{\mathbf{l}x} \varphi_{\mathbf{l}x} dx =$$

A special 3-node hydroelastic element (HELFEM) is developed and employed for domain S, whereas quadratic Lagrange elements are used in S_1

- $\checkmark C^1$ Hermite cubic shape functions are used as basis for the interpolation of the plate displacement.
- $\checkmark C^0$ Lagrange quadratic shape functions are used for the interpolation of the fluid velocity potential function.

✤The modal expansion solution for the transient hydroelastic response of a heterogeneous, freely floating plate, over shallow water of variable depth, given in Sturova (2009) [3], was compared with the finite element solution.



(3.3)

 $\mathbf{M}u_{\mu} + \mathbf{C}u_{\mu} + \mathbf{K}u = F$ ✓ Time Integration of the resulting ODE system (where *u* is the vector of nodal unknowns) is performed with the Newmark algorithm [4]

4. Results



Figure 3.2: A comparison between the method presented in [2] and the finite element solution. in a benchmark example (Sturova (2009)) is shown.

An initial plate deformation/ upper surface elevation of the

$$= 0.5 + 0.5 \cos(x-5)$$
 , $4 \leq x \leq 6$

ls prescribed. Excellent agreement was found een the two methods, thus establishing the convergence of the finite element solution.

✤The transient response of the fixed plate, subject to an incident long wave is shown. Two configurations are chosen.

✓ Configuration A features a plate of constant thickness.

✓ Configuration B features a plate of variable thickness.





Figure 4.1: Schematic representation of configurations A and B.

✤ For the present analysis, seabed dislocation is considered to be the mechanism of Tsunami generation.





 $-1/2L^{-3/2}\varphi_1$ (2.1)

(2.3)

(2.2)

tential in Stential in S



Figure 4.2: Initial water elevation due to seabed dislocation (Hammack(1973) [5], Okal and Synolakis (2003) [6]).

Configuration A

Approximating the Sulzberger ice shelf front [1] the length of the plate is set to 100 km. The constant plate thickness is assumed to be 100 m, while the maximum depth is b=400 m. Initial excitation was provided in the form of a seabed dislocation induced tsunami. Wavelength of the initial pulse is 20 km, while amplitude is 2 m. Seabed topography is defined by a ratio a/b=0.5

Figure 4.3: FEM solution for the upper surface elevation-displacement. Three snapshots are accompanied by the corresponding bending moment and shear force distributions. (Upper Surface elevation exaggerated)

The initial upper surface displacement forms two identical travelling waves pagating at opposite directions The wave that artially reflected while it continues to providing the initial flexural hydroelastic wave ppears to be dispersive as expected /hen reaching the fixed edge (x=0), wave is fully reflected and plate origin. The theoretica maximum absolute bending momen and shear force are observed at the vicinity of the fixed edge.

t = 8



- -2⁻²0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 ✤ A parametric study of the effects of bottom topography on the extreme values of plate deflection, bending
- moment and shear force was carried out. Extreme values increase as water depth under the plate decreases.



*e-mail:karperaki.ang@gmail.com



 \bigcirc

•

769-799, 1973.

The extrema of bending moment and shear force spatial distribution for configurations A and B as functions of time are compared.



Figure 4.6 : Comparison of bending moment and shear force maximum/minimum values against time, for configurations A and B

At point P1 (fixed edge), the positive bending moment is greater for configuration B. In all cases, it is evident that the hydroelastic dispersion of the main pulse is intensified for configuration B, after point P2. The dispersion of the hydroelastic wave manifest itself (see *figure 4.6*) through the formation of escalating peaks, regarding the bending moment and shear force values at P1 preceding the arrival of the main pulse at the same point. Due to the crosssectional variation, configuration B features a sudden increase of maximum shear force at point P2 for $t \square 15.5$.

5. Conclusions and Further research

The hydroelastic response of an ice shelf under long wave excitation is analysed by means of the Finite Element method. Deflection values, bending moment and shear force distributions are calculated for two ice shelf profiles and different bathymetry functions. Bending moment and shear force extrema were observed at the fixed edge of the plate. Additionally, a parametric study verified a direct correlation between decreasing depths and increasing extrema, as the ice self continental end is approached.

Future research directions include:

- □ Study of defect/crack propagation due to hydroelastic forcing. Determination of the stress intensity Factor using simple beam models [7].
- Extension of the methodology with application to short waves [8].

References

[1] Brunt K. L et al., 'Antarctic Ice Shelf calving triggered by the Honsu (Japan) Earthquake and tsunami, March 2011', Journal of Glaciology 57(205),785-788, 2011. [2] Squire V. A., 'Synergies Between VLFS Hydroelasticity and Sea Ice research', Int. J. of Offshore and Polar Engineering, 18

(3), 1-13, 2008. [3] Sturova I. V, 'Time-dependent response of a heterogeneous elastic plate floating on shallow water of variable depth', J.Fluid Mech. 637, 305-325, 2009.

[4] Hughes, J. R., 'The Finite Element Method: Linear Static and Dynamic Finite Element Analysis', Dover Publications, 2000. [5] Hammack J. L, 'A note on Tsunamis: Their generation and propagation in an ocean of uniform depth', J. Fluid Mech., 60,

[6] Okal E. A and Synolakis C., 'A Theoretical Comparison of Tsunamis from Dislocations and Landslides, Pure and Applied Geophysics 160, 2177-2188, 2003.

[7] Kienzler R. & Herrmann G.,'An Elementary Theory of Defective Beams', Acta Mechanica 62, 37-46, 1986. [8] Belibassakis K. A and Athanassoulis G. A., 'A coupled- mode technique for weakly non linear wave interaction with large floating structures lying over variable bathymetry regions', Applied Ocean Research 28, 59-76, 2006.