Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 17, EGU2015-10682, 2015 EGU General Assembly 2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



## Is there an optimal deconvolution method for receiver function imaging?

Kathrin Spieker, Stéphane Rondenay, and Felix Halpaap University of Bergen, Department of Earth Science, Bergen, Norway

Deconvolution is an essential processing step in receiver function analysis. A variety of deconvolution approaches have been employed over the past few decades, including frequency-domain spectral division, multi-taper cross-correlation, time-domain least squares filtering, and iterative time-domain deconvolution. Every deconvolution approach has its advantages and disadvantages but no systematic comparison of these approaches has yet been done. Here, we carry out benchmark tests on synthetic and real data to assess how the various approaches perform for different input conditions including noise content and the complexity of the target structure. We present the results of this comparison and evaluate the various deconvolution approaches to provide a set of guidelines on how to use the different types of deconvolutions more efficiently. Generally, our results show that the different approaches produce receiver functions that are equally robust provided that a suitable regularization parameter through the measure of spectral flatness of the receiver function. Our deconvolution intercomparison results also help us estimate the uncertainty of the receiver functions more accurately. In the process, we find that some deconvolution approaches may be better adapted than others to addressing specific imaging goals.