



Partitioning the covariance between space and time to reconcile the controversy in model evaluations

Fubao Sun (1), Michael Roderick (2,3,4), and Graham Farquhar (2)

(1) Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China (sunfb@igsnr.ac.cn), (2) Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia, (3) Research School of Earth Sciences, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia, (4) Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Climate System Science

There is an ongoing debate on “whether past performance of hydrologic/climate models has any guarantee of future skill”. That debate can be extended to a more general argument on "can we predict inter-annual variations when models have reasonable performance over intra-annual timescales, e.g., daily, monthly?". To contribute to that debate we start with our recently developed analytical approach that partitions the variance between space and time [Sun et al. 2010, GRL]. We show that the same framework can be used to answer the question by incorporating the covariance. This approach allows the multiple representations that are needed for handling a climate model ensemble. The covariance partitioning scheme can accommodate variations at various space and time scales. We show that the argument arose originally because of incorrect handling of intra- versus inter-annual variations.