

Contemporary suspended sediment yield of a partly glaciated catchment, Riffler Bach (Tyrol, Austria)

Martin Weber (1), Henning Baewert (2), David Morche (2,3)

(1) Institute of Geography, University of Innsbruck, Innrain 52f, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria, (2) Institute of Geosciences and Geography, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, 06120 Halle/Saale, Germany, (3) Catholic University of Eichstätt-Ingolstadt, Chair of Physical Geography, 85072 Eichstätt, Germany

Due to glacier retreat since the LIA (Little Ice Age) proglacial areas in high mountain landscapes are growing. These systems are characterized by a high geomorphological activity, especially in the fluvial subsystem. Despite the long tradition of geomorphological research in the European Alps there is a still a lack of understanding in the interactions between hydrology, sediment sources, sediments sinks and suspended sediment transport. As emphasized by ORWIN ET AL. (2010) those problems can be solved by gathering data in a higher frequency and/or in a higher spatial resolution or density - both leading to a big amount of data.

In 2012 a gauging station was installed at the outlet of the partly glaciated catchment of the Riffler Bach (Kaunertal valley, Tyrol). During the ablation seasons in 2012 and 2013 water stage was logged automatically every 15 minutes. In both seasons discharge was measured at different water levels to calculate a stage-discharge relation. Additionally, water samples were taken by an automatic water sampler. Within 16 sampling cycles with sampling frequencies ranging from 1 to 24 hours 389 water samples have been collected. The samples were filtered to calculate the suspended sediment concentration (SSC) of each sample. Furthermore, the climate station Weißsee provided meteorological data at a 15 minute interval.

Due to the high variability in suspended sediment transport in proglacial rivers it is impossible to compute a robust annual Q-SSC-relation. Hence, two other approaches were used to calculate the suspended sediment load (SSL) and the suspended sediment yield (SSY):

A) Q-SSC-relations for every single sampling cycle (e.g. GEILHAUSEN ET AL. 2013)B) Q-SSC-relations based on classification of dominant runoff-generating processes (e.g. ORWIN AND SMART 2004).

The first approach uses commonly operated analysis methods that are well understood. While the hydroclimatic approach is more feasible to explain discharge generation and to locate sediment sources both approaches underline the fact that SSC does not always depends on discharge but also on sediment availability. The comparison of both approaches shows that in well investigated areas the results are strongly determined by the choice of the analysis method.

References

Geilhausen, M., Morche, D., Otto, J.-C. and Schrott, L. (2013): Sediment discharge from the proglacial zone of a retreating Alpine glacier. Z Geomorphol Supplementary Issue 57 (2), 29-53. DOI: 10.1127/0372-8854/2012/S-00122

Orwin, J., Lamoureux, S.F., Warburton, J. and Beylich, A., (2010): A framework for characterizing fluvial sediment fluxes from source to sink in cold environments. Geogr. Ann. 92 A (2): 155–176.

Orwin, J.F. and Smart, C.C. (2004): Short-term spatial and temporal patterns of suspended sediment transfer in proglacial channels, Small River Glacier, Canada. Hydrol. Process. 18, 1521–1542.