



Observation-constrained estimates of the black carbon climate effect using high-resolution emission inventory and model

Rong Wang (1,2,3), Yves Balkanski (2,3), Olivier Boucher (4), Philippe Ciais (2,3), Gregory Schuster (5), Frédéric Chevallier (2), Bjørn Samset (6), Junfeng Liu (1), Shilong Piao (1,3), Myrto Valari (7), Shu Tao (1,3)

(1) Laboratory for Earth Surface Processes, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Peking University, 100871 Beijing, China., (2) Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CEA CNRS UVSQ, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France., (3) Sino-French Institute for Earth System Science, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China., (4) Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, IPSL/CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France., (5) NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, 23681 Virginia, USA., (6) CICERO Center for International Climate and Environmental Research, Blindern, N-0318 Oslo, Norway., (7) Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, IPSL/CNRS, Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France.

Black carbon (BC) is an important short-lived climate forcer with significant impacts on climate and health. However, the direct radiative forcing (RF) of BC is subject to a large uncertainty, due to the limitations that models have to capture the observed light absorption. In this study, we investigated the effect of using highly disaggregated inventory and high-resolution model on modelling of BC radiation absorption. It's found that the low resolution in emission inventory and model is a significant and overlooked source of error in previous studies. Using a detailed 10-km emission inventory and a 50-km atmospheric model allows us to reduce the under-estimation of BC absorption by more than 50% over Asia. Downscaling the BC field to 10 km further reduces the bias to -5% in Asia. The underestimation of coarse-resolution models can be attributed to the fact that about half of the observational sites are located in locations within the top 90th percentile of BC AAOD. To reinforce these results, we applied a Bayesian method and obtain a best estimate of 0.37 Wm⁻², with a 90% uncertainty range of 0.11-0.83 W m⁻². Our best estimate is lower than previously thought and, importantly, the uncertainty is reduced by 40%. This lower RF of BC implies that reducing BC emissions might improve air quality but bring less co-benefit for climate than expected.