



Introduction of the 2nd Phase of the Integrated Hydrologic Model Intercomparison Project

Stefan Kollet (1,2), Reed Maxwell (3), Cecile Dages (4), Emmanuel Mouche (5), Claude Mugler (5), Claudio Paniconi (6), Young-Jin Park (7), Mario Putti (8), Chaopeng Shen (9), Simon Stisen (10), Edward Sudicky (7), Mauro Sulis (11), and Xinye Ji (9)

(1) IBG-3, Research Centre Jülich, Germany, (2) Centre for High-Performance Scientific Computing in Terrestrial Systems, Geoverbund ABCJ, (3) Department of Geology and Geological Engineering, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, USA, (4) Laboratoire d'Etude des Interactions Sol Agrosystème Hydrosystème, INRA Montpellier, France, (5) Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Gif-sur-Yvette, France, (6) INRS-ETE, Université du Québec, Québec, Canada, (7) Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada, (8) Dipartimento di Metodi e Modelli Matematici per le Scienze Applicate, Università di Padova, Padova, Italy, (9) Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, USA, (10) Remote sensing and hydrology, Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland, Denmark, (11) Meteorological Institute, Bonn University, Bonn, Germany

The 2nd Phase of the Integrated Hydrologic Model Intercomparison Project commenced in June 2013 with a workshop at Bonn University funded by the German Science Foundation and US National Science Foundation. Three test cases were defined and compared that are available online at www.hpsc-tersys.de including a tilted v-catchment case; a case called superslab based on multiple slab-heterogeneities in the hydraulic conductivity along a hillslope; and the Borden site case, based on a published field experiment. The goal of this phase is to further interrogate the coupling of surface-subsurface flow implemented in various integrated hydrologic models; and to understand and quantify the impact of differences in the conceptual and technical implementations on the simulation results, which may constitute an additional source of uncertainty. The focus has been broadened considerably including e.g. saturated and unsaturated subsurface storages, saturated surface area, ponded surface storage in addition to discharge, and pressure/saturation profiles and cross-sections. Here, first results are presented and discussed demonstrating the conceptual and technical challenges in implementing essentially the same governing equations describing highly non-linear moisture redistribution processes and surface-groundwater interactions.