Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 17, EGU2015-5946, 2015 EGU General Assembly 2015 © Author(s) 2015. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



## Modeling forest C and N allocation responses to free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment

Kristina Luus (1), Martin De Kauwe (2), Anthony Walker (3), Christian Werner (4), Colleen Iversen (3), Heather McCarthy (5), Belinda Medlyn (6,2), Richard Norby (3), Ram Oren (7,8), Donald Zak (9), and Sönke Zaehle (1) (1) Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany (kluus@bgc-jena.mpg.de), (2) Macquarie University, North Ryde, NSW, Australia, (3) Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA, (4) Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BiK-F), Frankfurt, Germany, (5) University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, USA, (6) University of Western Sydney, Richmond, Australia, (7) Duke University, Durham, NC, USA, (8) Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Umeå, Sweden, (9) University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Vegetation allocation patterns and soil-vegetation partitioning of C and N are predicted to change in response to rising atmospheric concentrations of  $CO_2$ . These allocation responses to rising  $CO_2$  have been examined at the ecosystem level through through free-air  $CO_2$  enrichment (FACE) experiments, and their global implications for the timing of progressive N limitation (PNL) and C sequestration have been predicted for ~100 years using a variety of ecosystem models. However, recent FACE model-data syntheses studies [1,2,3] have indicated that ecosystem models do not capture the 5-10 year site-level ecosystem allocation responses to elevated  $CO_2$ . This may be due in part to the missing representation of the rhizosphere interactions between plants and soil biota in models.

Ecosystem allocation of C and N is altered by interactions between soil and vegetation through the priming effect: as plant N availability diminishes, plants respond physiologically by altering their tissue allocation strategies so as to increase rates of root growth and rhizodeposition. In response, either soil organic material begins to accumulate, which hastens the onset of PNL, or soil microbes start to decompose C more rapidly, resulting in increased N availability for plant uptake, which delays PNL.

In this study, a straightforward approach for representing rhizosphere interactions in ecosystem models was developed through which C and N allocation to roots and rhizodeposition responds dynamically to elevated  $CO_2$  conditions, modifying soil decomposition rates without pre-specification of the direction in which soil C and N accumulation should shift in response to elevated  $CO_2$ . This approach was implemented in a variety of ecosystem models ranging from stand (G'DAY), to land surface (CLM 4.5, O-CN), to dynamic global vegetation (LPJ-GUESS) models.

Comparisons against data from three forest FACE sites (Duke, Oak Ridge & Rhinelander) indicated that representing rhizosphere interactions allowed models to more reliably capture responses of ecosystem C and N allocation to free-air  $CO_2$  enrichment because they were able to simulate the priming effect. Insights were therefore gained into between-site differences observed in forest FACE experiments, and the underlying physiological and biogeochemical mechanisms determining ecosystem C and N allocation responses to elevated  $CO_2$ .

## References

- De Kauwe, M. G., et al. (2014), Where does the carbon go? A model-data intercomparison of vegetation carbon allocation and turnover processes at two temperate forest free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment sites, New Phytologist, 203, 883–899.
- Walker, A. P., et al. (2014), Comprehensive ecosystem model-data synthesis using multiple data sets at two temperate forest free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment experiments: Model performance at ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 119, 937–964.
- 3. Zaehle, S., et al. (2014), Evaluation of 11 terrestrial carbon–nitrogen cycle models against observations from two temperate Free-Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment studies, New Phytologist, 202 (3), 803–822.