Geophysical Research Abstracts Vol. 17, EGU2015-653, 2015 EGU General Assembly 2015 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



## A comparison of rating and dating techniques to estimate the threat of soil erosion to archaeological monuments under agricultural fields

Maud van Soest (1,2), Hans Huisman (2,3), Jeroen Schoorl (1), Tony Reimann (1,4), Arnaud Temme (1), Jakob Wallinga (1,4), Jan-Willem de Kort (2), Menno van der Heiden (2), Bertil van Os (2), Fenny van Egmond (5), and Michael Ketteren (6)

(1) Soil Geography and Landscape group, Wageningen University, P.O. Box 47, 6700 AA Wageningen, Netherlands (maud.vansoest@wur.nl) (maud.vansoest@wur.nl), (2) Cultural Heritage Agency of the Netherlands, P.O. Box 1600, 3800 BP, Amersfoort, The Netherlands., (3) Leiden University, Faculty of Archaeology, P.O. Box 9514, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands, (4) Netherlands Centre for Luminescence dating, Wageningen University, P.O. Box 47, 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands., (5) Medusa Explorations BV, P.O. Box 623, 9700 AP, Groningen, The Netherlands, (6) Department of Chemistry, University of Northern Arizona, Flagstaff, AZ, USA, Now at Department of Chemistry, Metropolitan State University of Denver, Colorado, USA

For the protection of Dutch archaeological sites against degradation, the TOPsites project is investigating the rate, extent and mitigation of the most important processes involved. One of these processes is soil translocation or soil redistribution. For many Dutch archaeological sites the actual extent and rate of soil erosion is not yet known. In this study different techniques for dating and estimating rates have been compared on three archaeological sites on tilled fields with gentle slopes: (multi-temporal LiDar, profiles and spatial distribution of 137Cs, anthropogenic Pb, and 239+240Pu, and moreover OSL. In addition, the added value of the combination of several of these techniques together will be evaluated.

Preliminary results show evidence for colluvium formation (deposition) on two of the sites. Lead contents in a buried soil on one of these sites suggest a subrecent to recent date. 137Cs profiles and spatial mapping, however, do not show clear evidence for recent erosion or re-deposition patterns. These first results suggest that in these agricultural settings with typical Dutch gentle slopes, erosion may only occur in rare, catastrophic, events with local high erosion and re-deposition rates instead of a more or less continuous process with lower rates. Consequently, the impact of ploughing might be limited to mixing of the plough layer, while the effect of damaging soil translocation, for these selected archaeological sites, seems less important. Forthcoming analysis and results of Pu and OSL will provide enough data for further discussion and possible falsification of these preliminary conclusions.