



Entrainment rates at the tops of laboratory analogs of cumulus and stratocumulus clouds

Anna Górska (1,2), Szymon P. Malinowski (1,3), Jacob Fugal (2,3)

(1) University of Warsaw, Faculty of Physics, Warsaw, Poland, (2) Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany, (3) Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Mainz, Germany

We investigate entrainment at tops of laboratory analogs of convective clouds: cumulus and stratocumulus. Cloudy saturated moist air ($T \sim 22^\circ\text{C}$) containing droplets of diameters of $\sim 3\text{--}10\ \mu\text{m}$, is introduced into a laboratory cloud chamber of dimensions of $1.0 \times 1.0 \times 1.8$ through an opening in the bottom wall. Initially cloudy air fills ~ 60 cm thick layer at the bottom. Mixing between the cloud and unsaturated air above ($T \sim 22^\circ\text{C}$, $RH \sim 35\%$) results in evaporative cooling triggering convection which, in turn, leads to formation of a well mixed layer capped with a temperature inversion. The temperature jump is about 2°C within ~ 30 cm deep layer. Then updrafts are forced through a 30cm high tube extending from the bottom of the chamber. „Strong” updrafts which penetrate the whole inversion layer mimic overshooting cumulus clouds while „weak” updrafts diverging under the inversion simulate stratocumulus clouds. We use a laser sheet technique to image two-dimensional cross sections through the clouds. A specially developed multiscale Particle Image Velicimetry (PIV) algorithm allows to retrieve 2D velocity fields. Suitable image processing allows to determine cloud-clear air interface in the images. Extracting velocities of cloudy (\mathbf{u}_i) and environmental (\mathbf{u}_a) air on both sides of the interface allows us calculate entrainment / detrainment rates:

$$E = -\rho_a(\mathbf{u}_a - \mathbf{u}_i) - \text{entrainment rate}$$

$$D = \rho_a(\mathbf{u}_a - \mathbf{u}_i) - \text{detrainment rate.}$$

On the poster we will present fine structures of entrainment/detrainment process and discuss similarities and differences in both investigated types of clouds.